

# Harmonic Mean Cordial Labeling of One Chord $C_n \vee G$

Harsh Gandhi<sup>1\*</sup>, Jaydeep Parejiya<sup>2</sup>, M M Jariya<sup>3</sup> and Ramesh Solanki<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,3</sup>Children's University, Gandhinagar - 382021, Gujarat (India),  
[hrgmaths@gmail.com](mailto:hrgmaths@gmail.com)<sup>1</sup>, [mahesh.jariya@gmail.com](mailto:mahesh.jariya@gmail.com)<sup>3</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Government Polytechnic College, Rajkot - 360004, Gujarat (India), [parejiyajay@gmail.com](mailto:parejiyajay@gmail.com)<sup>2</sup>

<sup>4</sup>Government Polytechnic College, Vyara - 394650, Gujarat (India), [rameshsolanki\\_maths12@yahoo.com](mailto:rameshsolanki_maths12@yahoo.com)<sup>4</sup>

## Abstract

All the graphs considered in this article are simple and undirected. Let  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a simple undirected graph. A function  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$  is called Harmonic Mean Cordial if the induced function  $f^* : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$  defined by  $f^*(uv) = \lfloor \frac{2f(u)f(v)}{f(u)+f(v)} \rfloor$  satisfies the condition  $|v_f(i) - v_f(j)| \leq 1$  and  $|e_f(i) - e_f(j)| \leq 1$  for any  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ , where  $v_f(x)$  and  $e_f(x)$  denote the number of vertices and number of edges with label  $x$  respectively and  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the greatest integer less than or equals to  $x$ . A graph  $G$  is called a harmonic mean cordial graph if it admits harmonic mean cordial labeling. In this article, we have discussed the harmonic mean cordial labeling of One Chord  $C_n \vee G$ .

**Keywords:** Harmonic Mean Cordial Labeling, Complete graph, Cycle, One Chord Cycle, Join of two graphs.  
**MSC 2010 No.:** 05C78

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We begin with simple, finite, connected and undirected graph  $G = (V(G), E(G))$ . For terminology and notation not defined here we follow Balakrishnan and Ranganathan [1].

In [2] J. Gowri and J. Jayapriya defined Harmonic Mean Cordial labeling of graph  $G$ . Let  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a simple undirected Graph. A function  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$  is called Harmonic Mean Cordial if the induced function  $f^* : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$  defined by  $f^*(uv) = \lfloor \frac{2f(u)f(v)}{f(u)+f(v)} \rfloor$  satisfies the condition  $|v_f(i) - v_f(j)| \leq 1$  and  $|e_f(i) - e_f(j)| \leq 1$  for any  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ , where  $v_f(x)$  and  $e_f(x)$  denote the number of vertices and number of edges with label  $x$  respectively and  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the greatest integer less than or equals to  $x$ . A graph  $G$  is called a harmonic mean cordial graph if it admits harmonic mean cordial labeling. For the sake of convenience of the reader we use 'HMC' for harmonic mean cordial labeling and ' $C_{(1,n-1)}$ ' for One Chord Cycle Graph. It is useful to recall some useful definitions of graph theory to make this article self-contained. Motivated by the interesting results proved in [3, 4, 5] and on Root Cube Mean Cordial Labeling in [6], we have discussed HMC labeling of Harmonic Mean Cordial labeling of One Chord  $C_n \vee G$ .

**Definition 1** [7] A Chord of a cycle  $C_n$  is an edge not in  $C_n$  whose endpoints lie in  $C_n$ .

**Definition 2** [1] Let  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  and  $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$  be two graphs. Then union of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is denoted by  $G_1 \cup G_2$  is the graphs whose vertex set is  $V_1 \cup V_2$  and edge set is  $E_1 \cup E_2$ . When  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are vertex disjoint  $G_1 \cup G_2$  is called sum of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  and it is denoted by  $G_1 + G_2$ .

**Definition 3** [1] Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two vertex disjoint graphs. Then the join  $G_1 \vee G_2$  of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is the super graph of  $G_1 + G_2$  in which each vertex of  $G_1$  is also adjacent to every vertex of  $G_2$ .

In Theorem 2.1, we have proved that the complete graph  $K_n \vee C_{(1,m-1)}$  is not HMC for any  $n, m \geq 2$  and  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . In Theorem 2.2, we have proved that  $C_{(1,m-1)} \vee C_{(1,n-1)}$  is not HMC for any  $n, m \geq 2$  and  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

### Proposition 2.1.

$K_n \vee C_{(1,n-1)}$  is not HMC for  $n \geq 2$ .

### Proof:

Suppose that  $K_n \vee C_{(1,n-1)}$  is HMC. Note that,  $|V(K_n \vee C_{(1,n-1)})| = 2n$  and  $|E(K_n \vee C_{(1,n-1)})| = n \frac{(n-1)}{2} + n + n^2 + 1$ . Since,  $|V(K_n \vee C_{(1,n-1)})| = 2n$  and we have assume that  $K_n \vee C_{(1,n-1)}$  is HMC. We have  $v_f(1) = v_f(2) = n$ .

### Case 1: All the vertices of label 1 and label 2 are in sequence in $C_{(1,n-1)}$

Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $K_n$ . So, we have  $(n - r)$  vertices of of label 1 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . Hence, we have  $(n - r)$  vertices of label 2 in  $K_n$  and  $r$  vertices of label

2 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . Note that,  $e_f(1) = (n - r)r + r \frac{(r-1)}{2} + (n - r)^2 + (n - r + 1) + nr + 1$  and  $e_f(2) = \frac{(n-r)(n-r-1)}{2} + r(n - r) + (r - 1)$ .

Now,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = \frac{n^2}{2} + r^2 + \frac{3n}{2} - 3r + 3$ . If  $r \geq 3$  then as  $n \geq 4$ , we have  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ .

If  $r = 1$  and  $r = 2$  then  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{3n}{2} + 1 > 1$ . So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ .

**Case 2: Some of the vertices of label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1, n-1)}$**

Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $K_n$ . So, we have  $(n - r)$  vertices of label 1 in  $C_{(1, n-1)}$ . Hence, we have  $(n - r)$  vertices of label 2 in  $K_n$  and  $r$  vertices of label 2 in  $C_{(1, n-1)}$ . Suppose that there exist  $j$  number of vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1, n-1)}$ . Then, we have  $e_f(1) = \frac{r(r-1)}{2} + r(n - r) + rn + (n - r)^2 + (n - r + j + 1)$  and

$e_f(2) = (r - j - 1) + \frac{(n-r-1)(n-r)}{2} + r(n - r)$  Now,  $e_f(2)$  in case 2  $\leq e_f(2)$  in case 1 and  $e_f(1)$  in

case 2  $\geq e_f(1)$  in case 1. So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in this case  $\geq e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in case 1. Now, we have already proved in case 1 that  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ .

**Case 3: We have  $n$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $K_n$  and  $n$  number of vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1, n-1)}$**

Then, we have  $e_f(1) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + n^2$  and  $e_f(2) = n+1$ . Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + n^2 - n - 1 = \frac{3n^2}{2} - \frac{3n}{2} - 1 > 1$  as  $n^2 > n$ .

**Case 4: We have  $n$  number of vertices with label 2 in  $K_n$  and  $n$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1, n-1)}$**

Then we have,  $e_f(1) = n^2 + n + 1$  and  $e_f(2) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ . Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = n^2 + n + 1 - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{3n}{2} + 1 > 1$ . Hence,  $K_n \vee C_{(1, n-1)}$  is not HMC.

**Proposition 2.2.**

$K_n \vee C_{(1, m-1)}$  is not HMC, where  $m + n$  is even and  $m, n \geq 2$ .

**Proof:**

Note that,  $|V(K_n \vee C_{(1, m-1)})| = m + n$ . Suppose that  $K_n \vee C_{(1, m-1)}$  is Harmonic mean cordial.

Then we have,  $|v_f(1)| = \frac{m+n}{2} = |v_f(2)|$

**Case 1: All the vertices with label 1 and label 2 are in sequence in  $C_{(1, m-1)}$**

Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $K_n$ . So, we have  $(\frac{m+n}{2} - r)$  vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1, m-1)}$ . Hence, we have  $(n-r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $K_n$  and  $m - (\frac{m+n}{2} - r) = (\frac{m-n}{2} + r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1, m-1)}$ . Then we have,  $e_f(1) = \frac{r(r-1)}{2} + r(m - r) + rm + (n - r)(\frac{m+n}{2} - r) + (\frac{m+n}{2} - r + 1) + r(n - r)$  and  $e_f(2) = \frac{(n-r)(n-r-1)}{2} + (n - r)(\frac{m-n}{2} + r) + (\frac{m-n}{2} + r - 1) + 1$ .

Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = mr + \frac{n^2}{2} - nr + \frac{3n}{2} + r^2 - 3r + 1 = (r - n)^2(\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{r^2}{2} + \frac{3n}{2} + 2 + r(m - 3) - 1$ .

Now,  $n > r$ . So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ .

**Case 2: Some of the vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1, m-1)}$**

Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $K_n$ . So, we have  $(\frac{m+n}{2} - r)$  vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1, m-1)}$ . Hence, we have  $(n - r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $K_n$  and  $m - (\frac{m+n}{2} - r) = (\frac{m-n}{2} + r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1, m-1)}$ . Suppose that there exist  $j$

number of vertices from  $(\frac{m-n}{2} + r)$  with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1, m-1)}$ . Then we have,  $e_f(1) = \frac{r(r-1)}{2} + r(n - r) + rm + (n - r)(\frac{m+n}{2} - r) + (\frac{m+n}{2} - r + j + 1)$  and

$e_f(2) = \frac{(n-r)(n-r-1)}{2} + (n - r)(\frac{m-n}{2} + r) + (\frac{m-n}{2} + r - j)$ . Now,  $e_f(2)$  in case 2  $\leq e_f(2)$  in case 1 and  $e_f(1)$  in case 2  $\geq e_f(1)$  in case 1. So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in this case  $\geq e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in case 1. Now, we have already proved in case 1 that  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ .

**Case 3:  $m < n$**

**Subcase 3.1: All the vertices in  $C_{(1, m-1)}$  are with label 1**

Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $K_n$ . So, we have  $(n - r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $K_n$ . Then we have,  $e_f(1) = \frac{r(r-1)}{2} + mn + m + r(n - r) + 1$  and  $e_f(2) = \frac{(n-r)(n-r-1)}{2}$ .

Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = mn + m + 2nr + \frac{n}{2} - r^2 - r - \frac{n^2}{2}$ . We know that,  $r = \frac{m+n}{2}$ .

Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = \frac{3mn}{2} + \frac{m}{2} + (\frac{n^2}{4} - \frac{m^2}{4}) + 1$ . We know that  $n > m$ . So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ .

**Subcase 3.2: All the vertices in  $C_{(1, m-1)}$  are with label 2**

Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $K_n$ . So, we have  $(n - r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $K_n$ . Then we have,  $e_f(1) = \frac{r(r-1)}{2} + rm + r(n - r)$  and  $e_f(2) = \frac{(n-r)(n-r-1)}{2} + m(n - r) + m + 1$ .

Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = m(n - r) + mr - m - \frac{n^2}{2} + 2nr + \frac{n}{2} - r^2 - r - 1$ . We know that,  $r = \frac{m+n}{2}$ .  
 Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = \frac{mn}{2} + \frac{3m^2}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4} - \frac{3m}{2} - 1$  as  $m \geq 2$ . So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ .

**Case 4:  $m > n$**

Subcase 4.1: All the vertices in  $K_n$  are with label 1

Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . So, we have  $(m - r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ .

**Subsubcase 4.1.1: All the vertices with label 2 are in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

Then we have,  $e_f(1) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + (r + 1) + nm$  and  $e_f(2) = m - r$ . Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + (r + 1) + nm - m + r$ . We know that,  $nm > m$ . So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ .

**Subsubcase 4.1.2: Some of the vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

Suppose that we have  $j$  number of vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Suppose that  $j$  number of vertices are not  $j$  sequence. Then we have,  $e_f(1) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + nm + r + j$  and  $e_f(2) = m - r - j + 1$ . Now,  $e_f(2)$  in subsubcase 4.1.2  $\leq e_f(2)$  in subsubcase 4.1.1 and  $e_f(1)$  in subsubcase 4.1.2  $\geq e_f(1)$  in subsubcase 4.1.1. So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in this case  $\geq e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in subsubcase 4.1.1. Now, we have already proved in subsubcase 4.1.1 that  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ .

**Subcase 4.2: All the vertices in  $K_n$  are with label 2**

Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . So, we have  $(m - r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ .

**Subsubcase 4.2.1: All the vertices with label 2 are in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

Then we have,  $e_f(1) = (r + 1) + nr + 1$  and  $e_f(2) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + (m - r - 1) + n(m - r)$ . Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = (r + 1) + rn + 1 - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m + r + 1 - mn + rn$ . We know that,  $r = \frac{m+n}{2}$ .

Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{3n}{2} + 3 > 1$ .

**Subsubcase 4.2.2: Suppose that some of the vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

Then we have,  $e_f(1) = m + rn$  and  $e_f(2) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + (m - r)n + 1$ . Now,  $e_f(2)$  in subsubcase 4.2.2  $\leq e_f(2)$  in subsubcase 4.2.1 and  $e_f(1)$  in subsubcase 4.2.2  $\geq e_f(1)$  in subsubcase 4.2.1. So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in this case is  $\geq e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in subsubcase 4.2.1. Now, we have already proved in subsubcase 4.2.1 that  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ . Hence,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$  in this case.

Hence,  $K_n \vee C_{(1,m-1)}$  is not HMC, where  $m + n$  is even and  $m, n \geq 2$ .

**Proposition 2.3.**

$K_n \vee C_{(1,m-1)}$  is not HMC, where  $m + n$  is odd and  $m, n \geq 2$ .

**Proof:**

Note that,  $|V(K_n \vee C_{(1,m-1)})| = m + n$ . Suppose that  $K_n \vee C_{(1,m-1)}$  is HMC.

**Case 1: All the vertices with label 1 and label 2 in  $C_m$  are in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

In this case we have two possibilities (i)  $v_f(1) = \frac{m+n+1}{2}$  and  $v_f(2) = \frac{m+n-1}{2}$  (ii)  $v_f(1) = \frac{m+n-1}{2}$  and  $v_f(2) = \frac{m+n+1}{2}$ . So, we consider the following cases.

**Subcase 1.1:**  $v_f(1) = \frac{m+n+1}{2}$  and  $v_f(2) = \frac{m+n-1}{2}$

Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $K_n$ . So, we have  $(\frac{m+n+1}{2} - r)$  vertices of label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Hence, we have  $(n-r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $K_n$  and  $m - (\frac{m+n+1}{2} - r) = (\frac{m-n-1}{2} + r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Then we have,  $e_f(1) = \frac{r(r-1)}{2} + rn + r(n - r) + \frac{m+n+1}{2} - r + 1 + (n-r)(\frac{m+n+1}{2} - r) + 1$  and  $e_f(2) = \frac{(n-r)(n-r-1)}{2} + (n-r)(\frac{m-n-1}{2} + r) + \frac{m-n-1}{2} + r - 1$ .

Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{5n}{2} + r^2 - 4r + 4 > 1$  as  $n > r$ .

**Subcase 1.2:**  $v_f(1) = \frac{m+n-1}{2}$  and  $v_f(2) = \frac{m+n+1}{2}$

Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $K_n$ . So, we have  $(\frac{m+n-1}{2} - r)$  vertices of label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Hence, we have  $(n-r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $K_n$  and  $m - (\frac{m+n-1}{2} - r) = (\frac{m-n+1}{2} + r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Then we have,  $e_f(1) = \frac{r(r-1)}{2} + rm + r(n - r) + \frac{m+n-1}{2} - r + 1 + (n-r)(\frac{m+n-1}{2} - r)$  and  $e_f(2) = \frac{(n-r)(n-r-1)}{2} + (n-r)(\frac{m-n+1}{2} + r) + \frac{m-n+1}{2} + r$ .

Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = r^2 + \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{n}{2} + mr - 2r - nr > 1$  as  $n \geq 2$ .

**Case 2: Some of the vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

**Subcase 2.1: Suppose that  $v_f(1) = \frac{m+n+1}{2}$  and  $v_f(2) = \frac{m+n-1}{2}$**

Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $K_n$ . So, we have  $(\frac{m+n+1}{2} - r)$  vertices of label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Hence, we have  $(n-r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $K_n$  and  $m - (\frac{m+n+1}{2} - r) = (\frac{m-n-1}{2} + r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Suppose that there exist  $j$  number of vertices from  $(\frac{m-n-1}{2} + r)$  with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Then we have,  $e_f(1) = \frac{r(r-1)}{2} + rm + r(n-r) + (\frac{m+n+1}{2} - r + j + 1) + (n-r)(\frac{m+n+1}{2} - r)$  and  $e_f(2) = (\frac{m-n-r}{2} - r - j - 1) + \frac{(n-r)(n-r-1)}{2} + (n-r)(\frac{m-n-1}{2} + r)$ . Now,  $e_f(2)$  in subcase 2.1  $\leq e_f(2)$  in subcase 1.1 and  $e_f(1)$  subcase 2.1  $\geq e_f(1)$  in subcase 1.1. So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in this case  $\geq e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in subcase 1.1. Now, we have already proved in subcase 1.1 that  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ .

**Subcase 2.2:  $v_f(1) = \frac{m+n-1}{2}$  and  $v_f(2) = \frac{m+n+1}{2}$**

Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $K_n$ . So, we have  $(\frac{m+n-1}{2} - r)$  vertices of label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Hence, we have  $(n-r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $K_n$  and  $m - (\frac{m+n-1}{2} - r) = (\frac{m-n+1}{2} + r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Suppose that there exist  $j$  number of vertices from  $(\frac{m-n+1}{2} + r)$  with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Then we have,  $e_f(1) = \frac{r(r-1)}{2} + rm + r(n-r) + (\frac{m+n-1}{2} - r + j + 1) + (n-r)(\frac{m+n-1}{2} - r)$  and  $e_f(2) = (\frac{m-n+1}{2} + r - j - 1) + \frac{(n-r)(n-r-1)}{2} + (n-r)(\frac{m-n+1}{2} + r)$ . Now,  $e_f(2)$  in subcase 2.2  $\leq e_f(2)$  in subcase 1.2. and  $e_f(1)$  subcase 2.2  $\geq e_f(1)$  in subcase 1.2. So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in this case  $\geq e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in subcase 1.2. Now, we have already proved in subcase 1.2 that  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ .

**Case 3:  $m < n$**

**Subcase 3.1: All the vertices in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$  are with label 1 and some vertices with label 1 are in  $K_n$**

Suppose that there exist  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $K_n$ . So, there exists  $(n-r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $K_n$ . Suppose that we have  $m$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Then we have,  $e_f(1) = \frac{r(r-1)}{2} + r(n-r) + mn + m + 1$  and  $e_f(2) = \frac{(n-r)(n-r-1)}{2}$ . Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = mn + m + 2nr + 1 + \frac{n}{2} - r - r^2 - \frac{n^2}{2}$ .

In this case we have two possibilities

- (i)  $m + r = \frac{m+n+1}{2}$
- (ii)  $m + r = \frac{m+n-1}{2}$

So, we consider the following cases.

**Subsubcase 3.1.1:  $m + r = \frac{m+n+1}{2}$**

Therefore,  $r = \frac{n-m+1}{2}$ . Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = \frac{mn}{2} + (2m - \frac{3}{4}) + (\frac{n^2}{4} - \frac{m^2}{4}) + \frac{n}{2} > 1$  as  $m < n$  and  $2m > \frac{3}{4}$  as  $m \geq 2$ .

**Subsubcase 3.1.2:  $m + r = \frac{m+n-1}{2}$**

Therefore,  $r = \frac{n-m-1}{2}$ . Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = (\frac{mn}{2} - \frac{n}{2}) + m + (\frac{n^2}{4} - \frac{m^2}{4}) + \frac{1}{4} > 1$  as  $n > m$ .

**Subcase 3.2: All the vertices in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$  are with label 2 and some vertices with label 2 are in  $K_n$**

Suppose that there exist  $r$  numbers of vertices with label 1 in  $K_n$ . So, there exists  $(n-r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $K_n$ . Suppose that we have  $m$  number of vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Then we have,  $e_f(1) = \frac{r(r-1)}{2} + r(n-r) + rm$  and  $e_f(2) = \frac{(n-r)(n-r-1)}{2} + m(n-r) + m$ . Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = 2mr - mn - \frac{n^2}{2} + 2nr + \frac{n}{2} - r^2 - r - m$ .

**Subsubcase 3.2.1:  $r = \frac{m+n+1}{2}$**

Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = \frac{3m^2}{4} + (\frac{mn}{2} - m) + (\frac{n^2}{4} - \frac{3}{4}) + \frac{n}{2} > 1$  as  $m, n \geq 2$ .

**Subsubcase 3.2.2:  $r = \frac{m+n-1}{2}$**

Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = \frac{3m^2}{4} + \frac{mn}{2} - 2m + \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{n}{2} = (\frac{n^2}{4} - \frac{n}{2}) + m(\frac{3m}{4} + \frac{n}{2} - 2) + \frac{1}{4} > 1$  as  $m, n \geq 2$ .

**Case 4:  $m > n$  and all the vertices with label 2 are in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

**Subcase 4.1: All the vertices in  $K_n$  are with label 1 and some vertices with label 1 are in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

Suppose that there exist  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . So, there exists  $(m - r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Suppose that we have  $n$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $K_n$ .

Then we have,  $e_f(1) = mn + (r + 1) + n \frac{(n-1)}{2}$  and  $e_f(2) = m - r$ . Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = (mn - m) + 2r + (\frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{n}{2}) + 1 > 1$  as  $mn > m$  and  $\frac{n^2}{2} > \frac{n}{2}$ , where,  $m, n \geq 2$ .

**Subcase 4.2: All the vertices in  $K_n$  are with label 2 and some vertices with label 2 are in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

Suppose that there exist  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . So, there exists  $(m - r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Suppose that we have  $n$  number of vertices with label 2 in  $K_n$ .

Then we have,  $e_f(1) = rn + (r + 1) + 1$  and  $e_f(2) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + n(m - r) + (m - r - 1)$ . Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = 2r + 2nr - \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{n}{2} - mn - m + 3$ .

**Subsubcase 4.2.1:  $r = \frac{m+n+1}{2}$**

Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = \frac{5n}{2} + \frac{n^2}{2} + 4 > 1$ .

**Subsubcase 4.2.2:  $r = \frac{m+n-1}{2}$**

Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{n}{2} + 2 > 1$ .

**Case 5:  $m > n$  and some of the vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

**Subcase 5.1: All the vertices in  $K_n$  are with label 1 and some vertices with label 1 are in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

Suppose that there exist  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . So, there exists  $(m - r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Suppose that we have  $n$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $K_n$ . Suppose that we have  $j$  number of

vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Then,  $e_f(1) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + mn + (r + j + 1)$  and  $e_f(2) = m - r - j$ . Now,  $e_f(2)$  in subcase 5.1  $\leq e_f(2)$  in

subcase 4.1 and  $e_f(1)$  in subsubcase 5.1  $\geq e_f(1)$  in subsubcase 4.1. So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in this case is  $\geq e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in subcase 4.1. Now, we have already proved in subcase 4.1 that  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ . Hence,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$  in this case.

**Subcase 5.2: All the vertices in  $K_n$  are with label 2 and some vertices with label 2 are in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

Suppose that there exist  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . So, there exists  $(m - r)$  vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Suppose that we have  $n$  number of vertices with label 2 in  $K_n$ . Suppose that we have  $j$  number of vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Then  $e_f(1) = nr + (r + j + 1)$  and

$e_f(2) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + mn + m - nr - r - j$ . Now,  $e_f(2)$  in subcase 5.2

$\leq e_f(2)$  in subcase 4.2 and  $e_f(1)$  in subsubcase 5.2  $\geq e_f(1)$  in subsubcase 4.2. So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in this case is  $\geq e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in subcase 4.2. Now, we have already proved in subcase 4.2 that  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ . Hence,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$  in this case.

Hence,  $K_n \vee C_{(1,m-1)}$  is not HMC, where  $m + n$  is odd and  $m, n \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 2.1.**  $K_n \vee C_{(1,m-1)}$  is not HMC, where  $m, n \geq 2, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof:**

Proof follows from Propositions 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3.

**Proposition 2.4.**

$C_{(1,m-1)} \vee C_{(1,n-1)}$  is not HMC, where  $m = n$  and  $m \geq 2$ .

**Proof:**

Suppose that  $C_{(1,m-1)} \vee C_{(1,n-1)}$  is HMC for  $m = n$ . Note that,  $|V(C_{(1,m-1)} \vee C_{(1,n-1)})| = 2n$  and  $|E(C_{(1,m-1)} \vee C_{(1,n-1)})| = n + m + nm + 2 = 2 + 2n + n^2$  as  $n = m$ . Since,  $|V(C_{(1,m-1)} \vee C_{(1,m-1)})| = m + n = 2n$  as  $n = m$ . We have assume that  $C_{(1,m-1)} \vee C_{(1,n-1)}$  is HMC for  $n = m$ . We have  $v_f(1) = v_f(2) = n$ .

**Case 1: All the vertices of label 1 are in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$  and  $C_{(1,n-1)}$**

Then, it is clear that all the vertices of label 2 are in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$  and  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . So, we have  $(n - r)$  vertices of of label 1 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . Hence, we have  $(m - r)$  vertices of label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$  and  $r$  vertices of label 2 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . Note that,  $e_f(1) = (r + 1) + (n - r + 1) + rn + (n - r)n + 1$  and  $e_f(2) = (n - r - 1) + (r - 1) + r(n - r) + 1$ . Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = 2n + 2 + rn + n^2 - r^2$ . We know that,  $n > r$ . So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ .

**Case 2: Some of the vertices of label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$  and  $C_{(1,n-1)}$**

Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . So, we have  $(n - r)$  vertices of label 1 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . Hence, we have  $(m - r)$  vertices of label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$  and  $r$  vertices of label 2 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . Suppose that there exist  $i$  number of vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$  and  $j$  number of vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . Note that,  $e_f(1) = (r+i+1)+(n-r+j+1)+rn+(n-r)m+1$  and  $e_f(2) = (n-r-i-1)+(r-j-1)+r(n-r)+1$ . Now,  $e_f(2)$  in case 2  $\leq e_f(2)$  in case 1 and  $e_f(1)$  in case 2  $\geq e_f(1)$  in case 1. So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ .

–  $e_f(2)$  in this case is  $\geq e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  We have already proved in case 1 that  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ . Hence,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$  in this case.

**Case 3: We have  $m$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$  and  $n$  number of vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$**

Note that,  $e_f(1) = mn+m+1$  and  $e_f(2) = n+1$ . Then,  $e_f(1)-e_f(2) = mn+m+1-1-n = mn > 1$  as  $n = m$ .

**Case 4: We have  $m$  number of vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$  and  $n$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$**

Note that,  $e_f(1) = mn+n+1$  and  $e_f(2) = m+1$ . Then,  $e_f(1)-e_f(2) = mn+n+1-m-1 = mn > 1$  as  $n = m$ . Hence,  $C_{(1,m-1)} \vee C_{(1,n-1)}$  is not HMC, where  $m = n$  and  $m \geq 2$ .

**Proposition 2.5.**

$C_{(1,m-1)} \vee C_{(1,n-1)}$  is not HMC, where  $m + n$  is even and  $m, n \geq 2$ .

**Proof:**

Note that,  $|V(C_{(1,m-1)} \vee C_{(1,n-1)})| = n + m$ . Suppose that  $C_{(1,m-1)} \vee C_{(1,n-1)}$  is HMC. Since we have  $|v_f(1)| = \frac{n+m}{2} = |v_f(2)|$ .

**Case 1: All the vertices of label 1 and 2 are in sequence in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  and  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . So, we have  $(n - r)$  vertices of label 2 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . Hence, we have  $\frac{n+m}{2} - r$  vertices of label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$  and  $m - \frac{n+m}{2} + r$

vertices of label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Note that,  $e_f(1) = (r+1) + (\frac{n+m}{2} - r + 1) + rm + (\frac{n+m}{2} - r)(n-r) + 1$

and  $e_f(2) = (n - r - 1) + (m - \frac{n+m}{2} + r - 1) + (n - r)(m - \frac{n+m}{2} + r) + 1$ . Then,  $e_f(1)-e_f(2) = mr + 4 + n^2 - 3nr + 2r^2$ . We know that  $r = \frac{m+n}{2}$ , So, we have  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ .

**Case 2: Some of the vertices of label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  and  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . So, we have  $\frac{n+m}{2} - r$  vertices of label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Hence, we have  $(n - r)$  vertices of label 2 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  and  $(m - \frac{n+m}{2} + r)$

vertices of label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Suppose that there exist  $i$  number of vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  and  $j$  number of vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Note that,  $e_f(1) = (r + i + 1) + (\frac{n+m}{2} - r + j + 1) + rm + (n - r)(\frac{n+m}{2} - r) + 2$  and  $e_f(2) =$

$(n - r - i - 1) + (m - \frac{n+m}{2} + r - j - 1) + (n - r)(m - \frac{n+m}{2} + r)$ . Now,  $e_f(2)$  in case 2  $\leq e_f(2)$

in case 1 and  $e_f(1)$  in case 2  $\geq e_f(1)$  in case 1. So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in this case is  $\geq e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in case 1. Now, we have already proved in case 1 that  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ . Hence, in this case  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ .

**Case 3:  $m > n$**

**Subcase 3.1: All the vertices in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  are with label 1**

So, we have  $n$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . So, there exist  $m - r$  number of vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ .

**Subsubcase 3.1.1: All the vertices in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$  are in sequence**

Then,  $e_f(1) = (n+1)+(r+1)+mn$  and  $e_f(2) = m-r$ . Then,  $e_f(1)-e_f(2) = mn-m+n+2 > 1$  as  $mn > m, n$ .

**Subsubcase 3.1.2: All the vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

Suppose that we have  $i$  number of vertices from  $(m - r)$  number of vertices are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Then,  $e_f(1) = n + (r + i + 1) + mn + 2$  and  $e_f(2) = m - r - i - 1$ . Now,  $e_f(2)$  in subsubcase 3.1.2  $\leq e_f(2)$  in subsubcase 3.1.1 and  $e_f(1)$  in subsubcase 3.1.2  $\geq e_f(1)$  in subsubcase 3.1.1. So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in this case  $\geq e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in subsubcase 3.1.1. Now, we have already proved in subsubcase 3.1.1 that  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ . Hence,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$  in this case.

**Subcase 3.2: All the vertices in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  are with label 2**

So, we have  $n$  number of vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . So, there exist  $m - r$  number of vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ .

**Subsubcase 3.2.1: All the vertices in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  are in sequence**

Then,  $e_f(1) = r + 2 + rn$  and  $e_f(2) = n + m - r$ . Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = m + 2r + 2 - n - m$ .

We know that  $r = \frac{n+m}{2}$ . So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ .

**Subsubcase 3.2.2: All the vertices in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  are not in sequence**

Suppose that we have  $i$  number of vertices from  $(n - r)$  number of vertices are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Then,  $e_f(1) = r + i + 1 + mn + 2$  and  $e_f(2) = m - r - i - 2 + n + n(m - r)$ . Now,  $e_f(2)$  in subsubcase 3.2.2  $\leq e_f(2)$  in subsubcase 3.2.1 and  $e_f(1)$  in subsubcase 3.2.2  $\geq e_f(1)$  in subsubcase 3.2.1. so,  $e_f(1)-e_f(2)$  in this case  $\geq e_f(1)-e_f(2)$  in subsubcase 3.2.1. Now, we have already proved in subsubcase 3.2.1 that  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ . Hence,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$  in this case.

Hence,  $C_{(1,m-1)} \vee C_{(1,n-1)}$  is not HMC, where  $n + m$  is even and  $m, n \geq 2$ .

**Proposition 2.6.**

$C_{(1,m-1)} \vee C_{(1,n-1)}$  is not HMC, where  $m + n$  is odd and  $m, n \geq 2$ .

**Proof:**

Note that,  $|V(C_{(1,m-1)} \vee C_{(1,n-1)})| = n+m = 2k+1$  where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $C_{(1,m-1)} \vee C_{(1,n-1)}$  is HMC. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $m > n$ .

In this case we have two possibilities. (i)  $v_f(1) = \frac{m+n+1}{2}$  and  $v_f(2) = \frac{m+n-1}{2}$  (ii)  $v_f(1) = \frac{m+n-1}{2}$  and  $v_f(2) = \frac{m+n+1}{2}$

So, we consider the following cases.

**Case 1:**  $v_f(1) = \frac{n+m+1}{2} = k + 1$  and  $v_f(2) = \frac{n+m-1}{2} = k$

**Subcase 1.1: All the vertices of label 1 are in sequence in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  and  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

Then, it is clear that all the vertices of label 2 are in sequence in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  and  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . So, we have  $(n - r)$  vertices of label 2 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . Hence, we have  $(k + 1 - r)$  vertices of label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$  and  $(k - n + r)$  vertices of label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ .

Note that,  $e_f(1) = (r + 1) + (k + 2 - r) + rm + (k + 1 - r)(n - r) + 1$  and  $e_f(2) = (n - r - 1) + (k - n + r - 1) + (n - r)(k - n + r) + 1$ . Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = (n - r)^2 + 5 + rm + (n - r)(1 - r) = (n - r)(n + 1 - 2r) + rm + 5$ . Now,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$  if  $n + 1 \geq 2r$ . If  $n + 1 < 2r$ , then  $\frac{(n+1)}{2} < r$ . Now,  $r + k = \frac{m+n+1}{2} > \frac{(n+r)}{2} + k$ . Therefore,  $m > k$ .

Suppose that  $r = \frac{(n+1)}{2} + l$ . Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = 2l^2 + 2l + \frac{1}{2} + lm + 5 > 1$ .

**Subcase 1.2: Some of the vertices of label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  and  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . So, we have  $(n - r)$  vertices of label 2 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . Hence, we have  $(k - r)$  vertices of label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$  and  $(k + 1 - n + r)$  vertices of label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ .

Suppose that there exist  $l$  number of vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  and  $j$  number of vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Note that,  $e_f(1) = (r + l + 1) + (k - r + j + 2) + rm + (n - r)(k + 1 - r) + 2$  and  $e_f(2) = (n - r - l - 1) + (k - n + r - j - 1) + (n - r)(k - n + r)$ . Now,  $e_f(2)$  in subcase 1.2  $\leq e_f(2)$  in subcase 1.1 and  $e_f(1)$  in subcase 1.2  $\geq e_f(1)$  in subcase 1.1. So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in this case is  $\geq e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in subcase 1.1. Now, we have already proved in subcase 1.1 that  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ .

Hence,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$  in this case.

**Case 2:**  $v_f(1) = \frac{n+m-1}{2} = k$  and  $v_f(2) = \frac{n+m+1}{2} = k + 1$

**Subcase 2.1: All the vertices of label 1 are in sequence in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  and  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

Then, it is clear that all the vertices of label 2 are in sequence in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  and  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . So, we have  $(n - r)$  vertices of label 2 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . Hence, we have  $(k + 1 - r)$  vertices of label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$  and  $(k - n + r)$  vertices of label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ .

Note that,  $e_f(1) = (r + 1) + (k + 1 - r) + rm + (k - r)(n - r) + 1$  and  $e_f(2) = (n - r - 1) + (k - n + r) + (n - r)(k - n + r) + 1$ . Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = (n - r)^2 + 3 + rm + (r - n)(1 + r) = (n - r)(n - 1 - 2r) + rm + 3$ . Now,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$

if  $n \geq 1 + 2r$ . If  $n < 1 + 2r$ , then  $\frac{(n-1)}{2} < r$ . Now,  $r + k = \frac{m+n-1}{2} > \frac{(n-r)}{2} + k$ . Therefore,  $m > k$ . Suppose that  $r = \frac{(n-1)}{2} + l$ . Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = (\frac{mn}{2} - \frac{m}{2}) + 3 + l(m - n - 1) > 1$ , if  $m \geq n + 1$ . Suppose that  $m \leq n + 1$ . Then since,  $m \geq n$ , we have  $m = n + 1$ . So, we have  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = (\frac{mn}{2} - \frac{m}{2}) + 3 + l(m - n - 1) = (\frac{mn}{2} - \frac{m}{2}) + 3 > 1$ .

**Subcase 2.2: Some of the vertices of label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  and  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . So, we have  $(n - r)$  vertices of label 2 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . Hence, we have  $(k - r)$  vertices of label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$  and  $(k + 1 - n + r)$  vertices of label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ .

Suppose that there exist  $l$  number of vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  and  $j$  number of vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ .

Note that,  $e_f(1) = (r + l + 1) + (k - r + j + 1) + rm + (n - r)(k - r) + 2$  and  $e_f(2) = (n - r - l - 1) + (k - n + r - j) + (n - r)(k - n + r) + 1$ . Now,  $e_f(2)$  in subcase 2.2  $\leq e_f(2)$  in subcase 2.1 and  $e_f(1)$  in subcase 2.2  $\geq e_f(1)$  in subcase 2.1. So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in this case is  $\geq e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in subcase 2.1. Now, we have already proved in subcase 2.1 that  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ . Hence,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$  in this case.

**Case 3:  $m > n$**

**Subcase 3.1: All the vertices in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  are with label 1**

So, we have  $n$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . So, there exist  $m - r$  number of vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ .

**Subsubcase 3.1.1: All the vertices in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$  are in sequence**

Then,  $e_f(1) = n + (r + 1) + mn + m + 1$  and  $e_f(2) = m - r$ . Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = (mn - m) + n + 2r + m + 2 > 1$  as  $mn > m$ .

**Subsubcase 3.1.2: All the vertices with label 2 are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$**

Suppose that we have  $l$  number of vertices from  $(m - r)$  number of vertices are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Then,  $e_f(1) = n + (r + l + 1) + mn + 2$  and  $e_f(2) = m - r - l - 1$ . Now,  $e_f(2)$  in subsubcase 3.1.2  $\leq e_f(2)$  in

subsubcase 3.1.1 and  $e_f(1)$  in subsubcase 3.1.2  $\geq e_f(1)$  in subsubcase 3.1.1. So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in this case is  $\geq e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in subsubcase 3.1.1. Now, we have already proved in subsubcase 3.1.1 that  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ . Hence,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$  in this case.

**Subcase 3.2: All the vertices in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  are with label 2**

So, we have  $n$  number of vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$ . Suppose that we have  $r$  number of vertices with label 1 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . So, there exist  $m - r$  number of vertices with label 2 in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ .

**Subsubcase 3.2.1: All the vertices in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  are in sequence**

Then,  $e_f(1) = r + 2 + rn$  and  $e_f(2) = n + m - r$ . Then,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = nr - n - m + 2r + 2$ .

In this case we have two possibilities.

(i) Suppose that  $r = \frac{n+m+1}{2}$ . So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = \frac{mn}{2} + \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{n}{2} + 3 > 1$

(ii) Suppose that  $r = \frac{n+m-1}{2}$ . So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) = \frac{mn}{2} + (\frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{n}{2}) + 3 > 1$ .

**Subsubcase 3.2.2: All the vertices in  $C_{(1,n-1)}$  are not in sequence**

Suppose that we have  $l$  number of vertices from  $(n - r)$  number of vertices are not in sequence in  $C_{(1,m-1)}$ . Then,  $e_f(1) = r + l + 3 + rn$  and  $e_f(2) = m - r - l - 1 + n + n(m - r)$ . Now,  $e_f(2)$  in subsubcase 3.2.2  $\leq e_f(2)$  in subsubcase 3.2.1 and  $e_f(1)$  in subsubcase 3.2.2  $\geq e_f(1)$  in subsubcase 3.2.1. So,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in this case is  $\geq e_f(1) - e_f(2)$  in subsubcase 3.2.1. Now, we have already proved in subsubcase 3.2.1 that  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$ . Hence,  $e_f(1) - e_f(2) > 1$  in this case. Hence,  $C_{(1,m-1)} \vee C_{(1,n-1)}$  is not HMC, where  $n + m$  is odd and  $m, n \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 2.2.**  $C_{(1,m-1)} \vee C_{(1,n-1)}$  is not HMC, where  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m, n \geq 2$ .

**Proof:**

Proof follows from propositions 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6.

**3. CONCLUSION**

In this article, we have discussed Harmonic mean cordial labeling of  $K_n \vee C_{(1, m-1)}$  and  $C_{(1,m-1)} \vee C_{(1,n-1)}$  for any  $n, m \geq 2$  and  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT:**

We are very much thankful to the reviewers for their extensive, in-depth and thorough evaluation and for enhancing suggestions.

**REFERENCES**

1. R. Balakrishnan and K. Ranganathan (2012). *A Textbook of Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Newyork.
2. J. Gowri and J. Jayapriya, Hmc Labeling of Certain Types of Graph, *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education (TURCOMAT)* 12(10), 3913-3915.
3. J Gowri, J Jayapriya, HMC Labeling of Some Snake Graphs. *International Journal of Special Education*, 2022; 37(3).
4. Jaydeep Parejiya, Premkumar Lalchandani, Daxa Jani, and Sneha Mundadiya. Some remarks on harmonic mean cordial labeling. *AIP Conf. Proc.* 14 November 2023; 2963 (1): 020019.
5. Jaydeep Parejiya, Daxa B. Jani and Yesha M. Hathi, Harmonic Mean Cordial labeling of some graphs, *TWMS Journal of Applied And Engineering Mathematics*, 14(1), 284-297.
6. Jaydeep Parejiya, Sneha Mundadiya, Harsh Gandhi, Ramesh Solanki and Mahesh Jariya, Root Cube Mean Cordial Labeling of  $K_{1,n} \times P_m$ , *AIP Conf. Proc.* 14 November 2023; 2963 (1): 020020.
7. D. B. West, *Introduction to Graph Theory*, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Second Edition, p. 225, 2000.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15379/ijmst.v10i4.3540>

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>), which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.