

Evaluating the Crucial Role of External Auditors in Mitigating Corruption Risk

Dr. Hanan Abdelsalam Nimer Jaber*

¹Department of Accounting, Business School, Al-Ahliyya Amman University, 19328, Amman, Jordan

Abstracts: The justification for the ongoing survey is to review the commitment of outside examiners equivalent to corporate defilement and highlight the repercussions for outer review controllers. The current review is based on an in-depth analysis of the International and American Auditing Standards for fraud and illegal acts and a critical review of earlier academic literature. Outside inspectors commit to evaluating defilement gambles, yet outer review controllers did not unquestionably portray their occupation. The ongoing survey was first to make sense of the commitment of outside reviewers regarding corporate debasement and to uncover knowledge of current cutoff points in the review guidelines related to this area. The back-and-forth movement furthermore presents a proposition to review controllers, outside inspectors, review firms, and examiners in such dubious regions.

Keywords: Corruption; Outer Reviewers, Extortion Chances, International Standards for Auditing, American Review Principles.

1. INTRODUCTION

In a time of rapid socioeconomic, technological, and information technology change and global economic growth, the public sector continues to be an essential financial component, particularly in a popularity-based framework that allows residents to express their preferences regarding the products offered by the association. The effectiveness of the tools used in public finance management and the nature of public goods and services advertised are two essential drivers of economic development. One of the most important goals that residents and economic groups expect the government to achieve is the successful completion of public service tasks. These issues are fundamental when general specialists balance the social and financial consequences of COVID-19. While saving colossal public resources consequently, affiliations ought to make a point to execute the best game plans.

To fabricate the capability and practicality of public money, the executives in the OECD nations, the overseeing body familiar with the commitment to ensure a convincing and powerful organisational control of general substances, comparative with the gamble suggested in their undertakings. Outside review is an instrument for assessing the capable and effective working of the board control, offering backing to substances' administration in streamlining the control frameworks that help achieve huge targets and tasks.

In this exceptional situation, questions arise concerning the occupation of the outside review in expanding the worth of public affiliations and as to estimations that could check its sufficiency and efficiency through the point of view of the improvement in the affiliation's exercises achieved due to the help provided for the administration in executing its objectives and dealing with the viability of the money the board. A couple of tries have been made all over the planet to evaluate the valuable working of the outside review in the public area, using various models. Nevertheless, by far, most of those assessments decreased to assessing review capability estimations in the confidential region. Questions regarding how to play out the evaluation and how to evaluate and additionally foster outer reviewers' work are based on the need to recognise external review capability drivers and on the undertakings to find determinants of review efficiency. Nevertheless, they relate to more than the public region.

Defilement is a critical risk facing organisations and countries from one side of the planet to the next. Defilement obliterates lives and networks and subverts governments and establishments (Straightforwardness Worldwide, 2012). Combating debasement requires the undertaking and cooperative exertion of administrative specialists,

outside evaluators, examiners, and the administering board (Organization of Inner Reviewers [IIA] 2014). Nevertheless, this paper revolves just around the gig of outside evaluators and the undertakings of review controllers in battling defilement. A cautious evaluation and survey of review guidelines, similar to prior writing, has revealed that the occupation of outside examiners concerning corporate debasement needed to be given adequate thought in writing and clearly described in the review principles.

The fundamental targets of this paper are twofold. It aims to begin by outlining external auditors' role in combating corporate corruption. Second, it intends to show the impact of defilement on fiscal summaries and, as such, the review calling by confirming genuine debasement cases and prior writing. This paper moreover proposes ideas to outer reviewers, review controllers, and experts on the most capable technique to fight heresy.

The rest of the paper is composed as follows: the accompanying portion talks about the commitments of outer examiners as per corporate debasement and perceives holes in review guidelines related to this area. Using examples from previous research, this section also examines how corruption affects financial statements. The third region explains corporate defilement's impact on the review calling by confirming genuine debasement cases. The fourth section surveys prior writing into heresy and the occupation of outside evaluators. It similarly recognises holes in prior writing related to this area. The conclusion consists solely of suggestions for audit regulators, external auditors, and experts regarding the most effective strategy for combating corruption. The last section proposes contemplations for future assessments that might be useful in battling corporate defilement.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Keeping an eye on prior writing shows a flood of assessments into the impact of debasement on the economy and the associations between defilement and culture. For instance, Khan and Andreoni (2018) observed that defilement would presumably decrease ventures that similarly accomplish a lower GDP (Gross domestic product). Khlif and Amara (2019) found that charge consistency globally is decidedly related to the productive control of defilement. Nguyen (2020) discovered corruption hinders business growth and reduces export enthusiasm. Nguedie (2018) found that lower levels of corruption enhance the impact of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) on financial development in developing economies. Melody, Chang and Gong (2021) found that debasement influ unfavourably influences the monetary turn events llyn (2017), examined the association between culture and degeneration, and the disclosures uncovered that solitary aggregate practices enable defilement and vulnerability avoidance extend levels of corruption. The makers described vulnerability aversion as how much the general public relies upon principles and techniques to cover events and conditions in their everyday schedules (Lewellyn, 2017). Qualities of the general public with high vulnerability evasion include communicating with others contingent upon formalised approaches and techniques, proceeding with moderate and meticulously determined dangers, and showing substantial obstruction from change (Orcos & Palom, 2019).

Our review has shown that two or three examinations have broken down the gig of outer evaluators or possibly the study, bringing in fighting debasement. Undoubtedly, no survey seen by the makers has indeed examined the commitments of the outside inspectors as per defilement. For instance, Ferreira (2018) investigated the possibility that managers' perceptions of the role that external auditors fill in for them impede corporate irregularities. However, their results did not support this assumption. The makers recommended that outer evaluators be depended upon to advance additional endeavours to recognise anomalies and change the chiefs' discernment. Mazzi, Slack, and Tsalavoutas' (2018) investigation into the relationship between a nation's perceived level of corruption and the presence of specific instruction guidelines was one of the few applicable studies found. Their revelations uncovered that countries that have set up a review-calling oversight body are believed to be less harmful. They moreover found that countries requiring logical experience, academic surveys, and allowing evaluation to work inspecting are believed to be better. Horvat, Mayrleitner, Vide and Bobek (2021) argued that the probability of recognising debasement could increase by extending liability, straightforwardness, independent oversight, reviews, and information access. The relationship between firm value and political corruption was investigated by Huang and Yuan (2021). Their revelations revealed that vital review observation could lighten the adverse muscular worth effects of political defilement inside the countries. Assad and Alshurideh (2020) explored the occupation of

bookkeeping and reviewed quality in appropriating global headway help credits from the World Bank. According to their findings, countries with higher audit quality and more solid accounting practices receive more aid from the World Bank. They found that the bookkeeping and review quality is connected with World Bank crediting simply in countries with generally critical defilement levels.

Despite the way that evidence from prior writing demonstrated the way that sound outer reviews could help in battling debasement, there is at this point a gigantic hole of information in this space, especially with regards to the commitment of outside evaluators in regards to corporate defilement and how outer examiners could honestly survey and respond to debasement gambles.

2.1. Obligations of External Auditors in the Face of Corporate Malfeasance

An assessment of the review norms uncovered that although the undertakings of review controllers in fighting extortion cannot be denied, more consideration should be given to outer examiners' liabilities regarding corporate debasement. For instance, the International Auditing & Assurance Standards Board (IAASB) issued International Standard on Auditing (ISA) 200, "Overall Objectives of the Independent Auditor and the Conduct of an Audit by International Standards on Auditing" (IAASB 2007), and International Standard on Auditing (ISA) 240, "The Auditor's Responsibility Relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements" (IAASB 2009a), about fraud. Both of these standards The Statement on Auditing Standard (SAS) 99 Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit was published in 2002 (ASB 2002) by the Auditing Standards Board (ASB) of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). The Public Organization Bookkeeping Oversight Board (PCAOB) gave AU Segment 316 Thought of Misrepresentation in a Fiscal Summary Review (PCAOB 2002). In any case, these review guidelines need to immediately reference outer examiners' liabilities concerning corporate defilement that was just unquestionably suggested and, on specific occasions, neglected, expecting that debasement significantly affects the budget summaries.

For example, ISA 200 expressed that outside evaluators are answerable for distinguishing material misquotes, whether given mistakes or extortion (IAASB 2007, 3, para. 5). Taking into account that debasement is a sort of interior extortion (Kranacher & Riley, 2019), outer evaluators are possibly responsible for distinguishing material misquotes emerging from it. In any case, this was again ignored by ISA 240 (IAASB 2009a) and SAS 99, which require outer examiners to survey and answer misrepresentation chances emerging from just two sorts of inside extortion, resource misappropriation and monetary announcing extortion (ASB 2002). ISA 240 protected this by expressing that resource misappropriation and monetary detailing misrepresentation will undoubtedly affect the budget reports (IAASB 2009a, para. A1–A6). The rationale of SAS 99 was not made clear.

This demonstrates that the two guidelines accept that defilement does not affect the budget summaries, albeit confirmation from prior writing shows in any case. For example, Blundell-Wignall and Roulet (2017) expressed that pay-off exercises hinder straightforwardness in monetary revealing. Degenerate delegates can make organisations overpay for labour and products purchased by an organisation wherein the specialists have a mystery interest. This kind of debasement is called an "irreconcilable situation." Discounts or allowances may be used to write off sales due to these conflicts of interest. Deficient identification of irreconcilable situations and related gatherings' exchanges could likewise meaningfully affect the fiscal reports and may mislead investors. Typical business checks could make degenerate instalments. Disguised instalments on the payer's bookkeeping records might show up as a genuine business cost, like counselling charges. Credits and charge card expenses could likewise be used as a pay-off (ACFE 2012). Defilement could affect the fiscal summaries due to obtaining high-esteem labour and products. Also, most pay-offs include the consumption of money and the recording of that use in the monetary records (IIA 2014; Comer, 2017). Different forms that could be affected by defilement incorporate negligible money, presents, reimbursements, debt claims, travel and amusement, gifts, instalments, and deals (IIA 2014). Instalments of pay-offs kept in the bookkeeping records will undoubtedly be in the sort of fictitious payables, created buys, apparition labourers, without interest credits, fanciful offers, or overbilling (Fortvingler & Szívós, 2016; Comer, 2017). Trautman and Kimbell (2018) thought that the whitewash of pay-offs could happen through charging the organisation for organisations that were not gotten, invoicing the organisation at an extended rate, or in the sort of

credits, debt claims, or stipend instalments to corporate officials. Costs and assets in the financial statements are false, which could also be significant. In FASB Proclamation 2, materiality was characterised as "the greatness of an oversight or misquote of bookkeeping data that, in the illumination of encompassing conditions, makes it likely that the judgment of a sensible individual depending on the data would have been changed or impacted by the exclusion or error" (FASB 1980, 10). As defilement is an unlawful demonstration, its dissimulation would be because its data would impact "the judgment of a sensible individual." The data on a lousy demonstration is most likely more central than the outright size of the infringement. Subsequently, even a little misquote in the budget summaries in solicitation to disguise a lousy demonstration might be viewed as material since it very well may be taken as a sign of the delicacy of the executives' fidelity.

In addition, corruption is a criminal activity that is prohibited by international laws and regulations. For instance, the Bribery Act was introduced (Changwony & Paterson, 2019) to update and improve British rule. The U.S. Unfamiliar Degenerate Practices Act (FCPA) blocks U.S. individuals and organisations from making portions to unfamiliar government authorities or lawmakers to impact transactions (IIA 2014). According to Blundell-Wignall & Roulet (2017), the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) played a crucial role in developing and reception of the 1997 convention in addressing global concerns regarding bribery. Review guidelines made sense of the commitment of outside examiners concerning illegal demonstrations. For example, ISA 250, Thought of Regulations and Guidelines in a Review of Fiscal summaries (IAASB 2009b), and SAS 54, Unlawful Demonstrations by Clients (ASB 1989), expected outside evaluators to ponder the suitable legitimate and administrative framework in leading the review of budget reports. ISA 250 communicated that the examiner would get satisfactory, proper review verification regarding consistency with the game plans of those regulations and guidelines generally perceived to straightforwardly influence the assurance of material aggregates and openings in the budget reports (IAASB 2009b, para. A8). SAS 54 communicated that the inspector's commitment to perceive and report misquotes because of unlawful demonstrations affecting the assurance of budget summary sums is comparable to errors caused by mistake or misrepresentation. The evaluator considers regulations and guidelines generally perceived by examiners to jeopardise the security of budget report sums tangibly. (ASB 1989, AU §317.05).

In any case, the two standards did not imply debasement or the commitments of the outside evaluators concerning defilement. Moreover, SAS 54 did not determine whether defilement dangers could, by suggestion, influence the fiscal reports (ASB 1989). It needed to be clarified how outside evaluators could choose whether an unlawful demonstration indirectly controls the fiscal statements. ISA 250 provided some examples of violations of laws and regulations that external auditors should take into consideration (IAASB 2009b, para. A13) were analogous to warnings of bribery cited by Kruncher and Riley (2019), which stated that low-bid grants are frequently followed by altered requests or adjustments that essentially increase payments to the merchant, strange or unexplained fluctuations in payables, costs, or disbursements, bizarrely exorbitant cost contracts for goods and services purchased by the organisation, and improper or unauthorised instalment for goods and services. This shows that the standard unquestionably expected outside evaluators to assess and respond to pay-off and defilement takes a chance as long as it substantially influences the financial plan reports; in any case, this was not directly referred to in the review standards.

This shortfall of clearness about the commitments of outer examiners concerning corporate defilement could cause outside reviewers to overlook their responsibility concerning distinguishing material debasement that could influence the fiscal summaries since review standards did not unequivocally require this. Auditor risk and case costs may rise as a result. It might moreover achieve anomaly in consenting to the necessities of the review standards for unlawful demonstrations. This could have serious repercussions, not only in terms of the ability of audit firms to survive in the market due to damaged notoriety but also in terms of losing shareholders' trust in the audit call. The accompanying section shows the impact of debasement on the review calling by giving evidence from veritable defilement cases and prior Writing.

2.2. Impact of Corruption on the Integrity of the Audit Procedure

The impact of debasement on reputational hazard may be outrageous regardless when the monetary result is irrelevant (IIA 2014). Right when undertakings suddenly miss the mark, of course, on the off chance that it is revealed that the organisation or critical representatives are related to false activities, the authenticity of the budget reports of these organisations is regularly raised uncertainty. At the point when monetary control is revealed, the outer inspector similarly goes under assessment (Trautman & Kimbell, 2018). For instance, the new reviews at FIFA have brought defilement and explicitly paid off into the public spotlight.

The FIFA debasement shock does not simply remember charges that \$150 million for degenerate portions were made to FIFA specialists. Again, it is centred around a game followed by various billion fans throughout the planet. The course of action and working with FIFA World Cup rivalries lead to billions of dollars of system utilises and can influence neighbourhood economies. Auditors face a particular problem as a result of the FIFA scandal. The way that FIFA's outer inspector, KPMG, gave an ideal evaluation of the affiliation's budget reports continues to raise issues about the value of the Review organisation. (According to Mandel (2016), the world soccer governing body has received a perfect financial well-being report from KPMG for 16 years despite long-standing suspicions of corruption. It is genuine to raise issues about the sufficiency of the reviews, taking into account that the dangers were by then extensively assumed, and the reviewer provided an immaculate report with this heap of years.

In the 2015 corruption scandal involving Petrobras in Brazil, in which 27 people were accused of bribery and money laundering, the company discounted \$2 billion in bribery-related costs. The association's commitment rose from \$25 billion to \$170 billion in 2014. The Petrobras President and five senior chiefs surrendered after the defilement embarrassment. Reviewers were utilised as subcontractors to offer help to Petrobras, with the cost of the work being expanded to cover the unlawful portions made. Petrobras faces a lawful case from investors who ensure the association conveyed bogus explanations and tricked financial backers about its assets. The association expected to concede the arrival of reviewed budget reports because of vulnerability about how much benefits for political defilement-related costs. Petrobras' examining firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC), would not shut down the records due to the defilement embarrassment and the shortfall of clarity on the forms (Abdul-Baki et al., 2019). In any case, PwC was examined for not reviewing the acquisition processes warily as a part of the review (Agerberg, 2019).

In 2008, the Protections and Trade Commission (SEC) blamed Siemens for encroachment of the counter payoff, books and records, and inside control plans of the Unfamiliar Degenerate Practices Act (FCPA) (SEC, 2008). Siemens has approved to pay a \$450 million lawbreaker acceptable to the U.S. Part of Equity and a fine of €395 million to the Workplace of the Examiner General in Munich, Germany. KPMG, Siemens' external auditor, identified €4.12 million in cash that employees of Communications Company (COM) brought to Nigeria and recommended that the instalments be examined. Regardless, no moves were made by either the overseeing body or the review board of Siemens. KPMG has also reported about 250 dubious payments made for the benefit of COM and Siemens' Italian subsidiary through Intercom to organisations in foreign jurisdictions. The audit report was distributed to the Intercom directorate and specific Siemens Corporate Compliance Office employees; in any case, they did not explore these realities or research whether they were related to other equivalent events of the wrong way of behaving (SEC, 2008). In any case, there was no evidence regarding KPMG's response that indicates that external auditors require guidance on the most effective way to respond to increased corruption risks, mainly when top management does not take corrective action and auditors' comments are ignored.

In the Walmart debasement case, an investor said the association's enduring examiner, Ernst and Youthful, contemplated a possible payoff in Mexico sometime before the association divulged it to U.S. subject matter experts. CtW Speculation Gathering, which works with affiliation benefits sponsors that hold around 0.15 per cent of Walmart Stores Inc. stock, similarly said that Ernst and Youthful should have uncovered the guessed payoff to the SEC and should be investigated by the bookkeeping oversight board because the demonstrations being examined and what the assessment was dealt with could have intended for the retailer's fiscal summaries (Newbie, 2017).

External auditors and audit regulators should focus on corruption, as evidenced by the public assumptions that external auditors have regarding recognising crime or, at the very least, being able to identify corruption risks. This subsequently requires review controllers to explain the occupation of outer evaluators concerning corporate defilement and provide them guidance on the most capable technique to assess and respond to debasement chances. Ionescu (2017) communicated that the public presumptions are that the evaluators ought to expect an influential part in decreasing heresy, if not wiping it out. On the off chance that outer reviewers cannot accept a section in perceiving debasement, they could recognise regions where opportunities for defilement exist. Blundell-Wignall and Roulet (2017) argued that reviewers ought to satisfy developing assumptions, not simply in the more expected areas of fiscal reports but equivalent to extortion and consistency with lawful commitments. Inspectors now face extended strain to plan and play out a review to get reasonable affirmation that material misquotes rising out of deceitful demonstrations, for instance, pay off, are recognised and uncovered. Norman, Tobedza and Master (2015) state that the whole review cycle is useless if outer reviewers are not qualified, especially in getting their capacities to bear a bad climate. Ferreira (2018) surmised useless valuator are, at this point, holding to the rule, which viewpoints review as watchman canines, not hunting dogs, and are not expected to recognise misrepresentation. Disastrously, conditions are unique and public supposition for the gig of the evaluators has likewise changed. Akther and Xu (2020) argued that aside from the event that the evaluator's occupation changes with public supposition, the review calling might gamble with social action of approval or discipline for dissension.

2.3. Future Assessments

Future assessments should explore review methodologies that might help outside examiners assess and respond to defilement gambles. One supportive district might be the use of warnings in determining opportunities for defilement. The subject of previous research has been red flags' efficacy in assessing risks from resource misappropriation and financial reporting fraud. Still, there has been no comprehensive examination of their viability in assessing corruption risks. Deficiencies in the internal control structure could help outer reviewers perceive regions with open defilement doors. Consequently, future assessments could research how the COSO inward control construction might help outside examiners recognise debasement opportunities.

Future assessments should investigate public suspicions of outer inspectors compared to perceiving debasement. Inspection highlighting the impact of blasphemy on fiscal summaries and its ideas for outer evaluators is moreover required. An early phase could be examining defilement cases to date to research the sorts of debasement submitted, how each was presented and recognised, what the impact was on the fiscal reports, and what the consequences were for outside inspectors and the guilty parties.

CONCLUSION

This examination wanted to make sense of the gig of outside reviewers in regard to corporate debasement, showing the impact of blasphemy on the fiscal reports and the review call, and giving ideas to outer evaluators, review controllers, and analysts on the most effective way to fight debasement.

Our revelations uncovered that outside inspectors are intelligent and obligated to recognise material misquotes rising out of blasphemy that would substantially affect the fiscal summaries. Regardless, this was merely suggested rather than explicitly stated in audit principles. Review standards also outlandishly suggested that defilement presumably will not influence the budget summaries, unlike various kinds of inward misrepresentation like asset misappropriation and monetary revealing extortion. Regardless, evidence from prior writing exhibited something different and demonstrated how debasement could provoke twists in the fiscal reports. The findings also revealed that external auditors will likely be scrutinised due to corruption scandals. This was clear in a piece of the new debasement outrages when outer reviewers forgot to track down degenerate practices by their examined clients after some time of the review. General society guesses that outer examiners ought to perceive opportunities for heresy when they exist fundamentally. Dismissing debasement will oppositely influence the remaining review firms and raise stresses over exterior reviews' value. The clarification evaluators should be worried about corruption because a misquote coming about due to blasphemy is even more over the top to the review firm and probably the

entire calling than an error achieved by various variables, for instance, by slip-up. The FIFA model tends to do this. Due to FIFA's pristine image each year, many people looked into the value of audits. Although a misconception of auditor responsibilities and auditing guidelines may account for most public shock, this may result in higher settlement costs for review firms.

Our revelations uncovered a hole before writing in defilement, transcendently the commitment of outside evaluators regarding corporate debasement and how outer reviewers could honestly assess and respond to defilement gambles.

As a result, the momentum paper offers the audit regulators, external auditors, and analysts the following suggestions regarding the most effective strategy for combating corruption.

The duties of external auditors about corruption ought to be explained by auditor regulators. This requires review principles to express that outer evaluators indisputably are liable for recognising material errors due to debasement and that they are expected to assess and respond to defilement gambles.

Corruption must be made clear in audit standards as an illegal act and internal fraud that could significantly impact the financial statements.

Review controllers should guide outside examiners on the most capable strategy to survey and respond to defilement danger. Occasions of errors due to defilement and illegal demonstrations that could substantially influence the budget summaries should similarly be given.

Outside evaluators need to head on the most capable strategy to answer circumstances when the board or those blamed for administration over and over ignore asymmetry or weaknesses in the internal control system. Repeated messes or no undertakings by the chiefs to take helpful medicinal actions may only partially indicate fragile checking but also a means to submit extortion.

Outside evaluators need to appreciate the possibility of heresy, the groupings of debasement, and how each could be submitted. This will undoubtedly help them recognise possible opportunities for defilement. They also need to know how much responsibility they have for corruption and how likely they will overlook it.

Outside reviewers ought to be aware of high-risk accounts that could show a high gamble of opportunity for defilement like obtainments, credits, trivial money, cost of administrations, obligation claims, propels, Visa costs, and divulgences in the fiscal reports, especially those related to related-party exchanges.

Moreover, Outside examiners ought to be familiar with deficiencies in inner control that could increase the opportunity for debasement. For example, the shortfall of palatable confinement of commitments, especially in regions like acquisition or the board level. Much force in the hand of one individual could extend the gamble of that individual misusing this power by partaking in degenerate practices for their benefit. Furthermore, the tone at the top could hugely influence an affiliation's way of life. If the top administration explained that payoff and defilement would not happen without serious results, then the debasement hazard might be diminished. In like manner, a point-by-point evaluation of the chiefs' commitment to decency and moral qualities, the board's perspective and working style, and driving gathering of the chief's participation in the component's activities could help outside examiners with surveying the gamble of debasement.

Investigating existing regulations, rules, and rules, including the offering framework, similar to talking about critical work power, would help outer evaluators recognise open defilement doors.

Specialists have furthermore a considerable impact to play in engaging defilement. They can be of assistance by leading investigations that could aid in the creation of appropriate preparation materials for external auditors to understand the nature of corruption and how it might be perpetrated, such as money laundering strategies used by

corrupt individuals and methods by which external auditors could evaluate and respond to corruption risks. The accompanying portion gives a couple of plans for future assessment of this area.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdul-Baki, Z., Uthman, A. B., & Kasum, A. S. (2019). The role of accounting and accountants in Nigeria's oil subsidy corruption scandal. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 102128.
- [2] Agerberg, M. (2019). The curse of knowledge? Education, corruption, and politics. *Political Behavior*, 41(2), 369–399.
- [3] Akther, T., & Xu, F. (2020). Existence of the audit expectation gap and its impact on stakeholders' confidence: The moderating role of the financial reporting council. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 8(1), 4.
- [4] Aktiengesellschaft. Litigation Release No. 20829. Available at: <https://www.sec.gov/litigation/litreleases/2008/lr20829.htm> (last accessed on November 12, 2015). TWICE
- [5] Alheet, A. F., Qawasmeh, R. A., Areiqat, A. Y., & Zamil, A. M. (2021). The Impact of the Existing Relationship Between Real Estate Market and Stock Exchange Market on The Strategic Planning in The Jordanian Banks. *Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal*, 25, 1-10.
- [6] Alina, C. M., Cerasela, S. E., & Raluca-Andreea, T. (2018). The Role of Internal Audit in Fighting Corruption. *Ovidius University Annals, Economic Sciences Series*, 18(2), 566-569.
- [7] Assad, N. F., & Alshurideh, M. T. (2020). Financial reporting quality, audit quality, and investment efficiency: evidence from GCC economies. *WAFFEN-UND Kostumkd. J*, 11(3), 194–208.
- [8] Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE). 2012. *Fraud Examiners Manual*. Austin, TX: The Association of Certified Fraud Examiners.
- [9] Auditing Standards Board (ASB). 1989. *Illegal Acts by Clients*. Statement on Auditing Standard 54. Available at: <http://www.aicpa.org/research/standards/auditattest/pages/sas.aspx> (last accessed on May 5, 2015).
- [10] Auditing Standards Board (ASB). 2002. *Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit*. Statement on Auditing Standard 99. Available at: <http://www.aicpa.org/research/standards/auditattest/pages/sas.aspx> (last accessed on April 3, 2015)
- [11] Blundell-Wignall, A., & Roulet, C. (2017). Foreign direct investment, corruption and the OECD Anti-Bribery Convention. *THRICE*
- [12] Changwony, F. K., & Paterson, A. S. (2019). Accounting practice, fiscal decentralization and corruption. *The British Accounting Review*, 51(5), 100834.
- [13] Ziauddin, I., Khan, M., Jam, F., & Hijazi, S. (2010). The impacts of employees' job stress on organizational commitment. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 13(4), 617-622.
- [14] Esposito, C. (2016). A red card for FIFA: Corruption and scandal in the world's foremost sports association. *Rich. J. Global L. & Bus.*, pp. 16, 45.
- [15] Ferreira, J. V. (2018). The role of the external auditor in corporate governance: The case of companies listed in the NYSE Euronext Lisbon. *Risk Governance and Control: Financial Markets & Institutions*, 8 (4), 38-51.
- [16] Ferreira, J. V. (2018). The role of the external auditor in corporate governance: The case of companies listed in the NYSE Euronext Lisbon. *Risk Governance and Control: Financial Markets & Institutions*, 8 (4), 38-51.
- [17] Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). (1980). *Qualitative Characteristics of Accounting Information*. Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts 2. Norwalk, CT: FASB.
- [18] Fortvingler, J., & Szívós, L. (2016). Different approaches to fraud risk assessment and their implications on audit planning. *Periodica Polytechnica Social and Management Sciences*, 24(2), 102-112.
- [19] Horvat, T., Mayrlleitner, P., Vide, R. K., & Bobek, V. (2021). Culture, corruption and economic development: The case of emerging economies. *Acta Oeconomica*, 71(1), 99-116.
- [20] Huang, Q., & Yuan, T. (2021). Does political corruption impede firm innovation? Evidence from the United States. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 56(1), 213-248.
- [21] Hurson, D. J. (2020). The United States Securities and Exchange Commission Whistleblower Program: A Long and Winding Road. In *Corporate Whistleblowing Regulation* (pp. 159-184). Springer, Singapore. Index, C. P. (2018). *Corruption perception index*. Transparency International.
- [22] Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA). 2014. *Auditing Anti-Bribery and Anti-Corruption Programs*. Available at: <https://global.theiia.org/OntologicaSearch/Pages/DefaultResults.aspx?k¼auditing%20anticorruption%20programs%20&s¼GlobalSites&start1¼0&ct¼Site&cs¼StandardsandGuidance&ref¼https://global.theiia.org/standardsguidance&ret¼https%3A%2F%2Fglobal.theiia.org%2Fstandards-guidance%2FPages%2FStandards-andGuidance-IPPF.aspx> (last accessed on September 15, 2015) 5 times
- [23] International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB). (2007). *Overall Objective of the Independent Auditor and the Conduct of an Audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing*. International Standard on Auditing 200. Available at: <http://www.ifac.org/system/files/downloads/a008-2010-iaasb-handbook-isa-200.pdf>
- [24] Abdelrahim, A. H. adow . (2023). The Evaluation of Administrative Control Mechanisms and Accounting Information Quality in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Membrane Science and Technology*, 10(3), 2093-2101. <https://doi.org/10.15379/ijmst.v10i3.1908>
- [25] International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB). 2009a. *The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements*. International Standard on Auditing 240. Available at: <http://www.ifac.org/system/files/downloads/a012-2010-iaasb->

handbook-isa-240.pdf

- [27] International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB). 2009b. Consideration of Laws and Regulations in an Audit of Financial Statements. International Standards on Auditing 250. Available at: <http://www.ifac.org/system/files/downloads/a013-2010-iaasb-handbook-isa-250.pdf>
- [28] Ionescu, L. (2017). Errors and fraud in accounting. The role of the external audit in fighting corruption. *Annals of Spiru Haret University. Economic Series*, 17(4), 29-36.
- [29] Khan, M., & Andreoni, A. (2018, June). Corruption and Illicit Financial Flows. In Expert Meeting on Statistical Methodologies for Measuring Illicit Financial Flows organized by United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). Geneva (pp. 20-22).
- [30] Khlif, H., & Amara, I. (2019). Political connections, corruption and tax evasion: a cross-country investigation. *Journal of Financial Crime*.
- [31] Kranacher, M. J., & Riley, R. (2019). *Forensic accounting and fraud examination*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [32] Lewellyn, K. B. (2017). The role of national culture and corruption on managing earnings around the world. *Journal of World Business*, 52(6), 798-808. twice
- [33] Mandel, A. (2016). Fixing Soccer: Changing FIFA's Corporate Governance Structure in Response to the Current FIFA Corruption Scandal. *Cardozo Arts & Ent. LJ*, 35, 449.
- [34] Mazzi, F., Slack, R., & Tsalavoutas, I. (2018). The effect of corruption and culture on mandatory disclosure compliance levels: Goodwill reporting in Europe. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 31, 52-73.
- [35] Newcomer, E. (2017). Uber Faces Widespread Asia Bribery Allegations Amid US Criminal Probe. *Bloomberg.com*. [online]. Available from: <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2017-09-20/uber-is-said-to-review-asia-dealings-amid-us-criminal-probe>.
- [36] Nguedie, Y. H. N. (2018). Corruption, investment and economic growth in developing countries: A Panel Smooth Transition Regression Approach.
- [37] Nguyen, T. D. (2020). Does firm growth increase corruption? Evidence from an instrumental variable approach. *Small Business Economics*, 55(1), 237-256.
- [38] Norman, L. M., Tobedza, G., & Swami, B. N. (2015). Challenges faced by external auditors in Botswana. *International Journal of Social Sciences & Humanities*.
- [39] Orcos, R., & Palomas, S. (2019). The impact of national culture on the adoption of environmental management standards: The worldwide diffusion of ISO 14001. *Cross Cultural & Strategic Management*.
- [40] Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB). 2002. Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit. AU Section 316. Available at: <http://pcaobus.org/Standards/Auditing/pages/au316.aspx>
- [41] Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). 2008. *Securities and Exchange Commission v. Siemens*
- [42] Song, C. Q., Chang, C. P., & Gong, Q. (2021). Economic growth, corruption, and financial development: Global evidence. *Economic Modelling*, 94, 822-830.
- [43] Suwaidan, M. S., Al-Khoury, A. F., Areiqat, A. Y., & Cherrati, S. O. (2021). The determinants of corporate governance disclosure: the case of Jordan. *Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal*, 25(2), 1-12.
- [44] Trautman, L. J., & Kimbell, J. (2018). Bribery and Corruption: The COSO Framework, FCPA, and UK Bribery Act. *Fla. J. Int'l L.*, 30, 191.
- [45] Zamil, A. M., Al Adwan, A., & Areiqat, A. Y. (2021). BUYING INTENTIONS FACTORS AND GROWING SCOMMERCE IN KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA (KSA). *Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal*, 25, 1-17.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15379/ijmst.v10i4.2039>

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>), which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.