Problems BA Students Face During the Process of Translating Prepositions of Movement from English into Arabic

Mohammad Hanaqtah^{1*}, Nadia Lutfi Dawoud², Yasmeen Abu-Taleb³

^{1,2,3}University of Jordan Faculty of Languages/ English Department, Jordan; E-mail: mhanaqtah@hotmail.com

Abstracts: Prepositions are unique closed-class grammatical forms that have syntactic and semantic qualities. They act as vital markers to the structure of a sentence and reveal the spatial, temporal, or logical relationship of their object to another part of the sentence. This study investigates the difficulties that BA students face when translating prepositions. The sample consisted of 60 students from three private universities. To achieve the objectives a translation Cloze Test consisting of 30-statements was used. The data resulting was concluded using the Level of Achievement Score. The results showed that students fail to recognize prepositions as parts of multiword expressions. Understanding prepositions and syntactic role. There are many differences between prepositions in the way they are conceptualized in each language. It also revealed that communicative translation is one of the most successful techniques in translation.

Keywords: Prepositions of Movement, Translation Techniques, Communicative Translation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Prepositions are an important part of the English language that is used to show a relationship between two words in a sentence noun, verb, or adjective, and a noun or pronoun. According to Yule (2006) prepositions are quite short and insignificant looking but they play very important functions in expressing the relation between two entities. (Akhtar 2017,274) stated, "though how small the words are, the meaning of the whole structure of the sentence changes when there is a mistake in the use of prepositions". (Musliyanti 2012, 2) defines a preposition as, "... a word that shows the relationship between two words in a sentence. Prepositions are always followed by nouns (or pronouns). They are connective words that show the relationship between the nouns following them and one of the basic sentence elements: subject, verb, object, or complement. They usually indicate relationships, such as position, place, direction, time, manner, agent, possession, and condition, between their objects and other parts of the sentence".

Quirk states that prepositions are "structural phrases and that they almost belong to a language closed-set. The closed-set items belong to a class whose membership is fixed or restricted, i.e. they cannot usually be expanded by additional members being formed. Closed-set item members exhibit an interdependence of meaning and use". (Quirk 1972, 300)

Prepositions in English are a well-known challenge for learners of English as a second language, as they are one of the most problematic areas Lindstromberg, (2010). According to (Mukattash 1985,134) "English prepositions are an everlasting problem for Arab learners of English. Indeed, they are notoriously difficult and do not cease to cause confusion and uncertainty to Arab learners even at a fairly advanced level of learning". Due to the lack of collocational knowledge, the multi-functional nature of prepositions, and the lack of a direct corresponding preposition in the source language translators in general and students in particular encounter many problems when they attempt to translate prepositions from English into Arabic and vice versa. As Cabuk claimed (2009), some of the reasons why students encounter problems in using appropriate prepositions are that they lack a wide understanding of the context of the use of prepositions. Another reason is that they have several different functions in that the same prepositions can carry different meanings and usages. They can't be guessed and there is no logical way of deciding which preposition goes with a particular verb, noun, or adjective. "It should be remembered that mistakes can occur not only in the meaning conveyed by words but are often hidden in details: an incorrect preposition, tense, word order, or even a comma can change the meaning of the whole sentence" (Mall, n.d., "The

Art of Revision").

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

It was stated previously that the translation of prepositions poses a challenge to translators because the preposition systems in English and Arabic rarely correspond to one another. It was also mentioned that they are the most common English words and have a wide range of applications causing L2 students great difficulty in using the prepositions in English (Brenda, 2019; Mariani, 2020; Jubier, 2019; Monaikul & Di Eugenio, 2020; Premjith et al., 2019). They have a core, 'prototypical' meaning, which is normally anchored into the spatial domain" (Brala 2002,313). Prepositions have "more abstract, metaphorical meanings, which have developed from the core meaning through a process known as 'meaning extension' Zelinski-Wibbelt (1993b). They possess many interesting characteristics, as revealed by some recent studies (e.g. Zelinski-Wibbelt 1993a, Tyler & Evans 2003). One such characteristic is their largely phraseological nature. While some prepositions are selected for the independent meaning they carry, others are mainly chosen because they are phrases logically 'bound' to another word in the sentence. Therefore, the need for linguistic accuracy is not incompatible with the theory that in the act of translation it is imperative to transmit the correct meanings and correct messages of the original unit of meaning, to the source text. (Halliday & Hasan 1976, 2), stated "A text is best regarded as a semantic unit: a unit not of form but of meaning".

According to Lindstromberg (1998) prepositions are traditionally classified into three categories: prepositions of place, direction (or motion or movement), and time. Hansard (2012) classifies prepositions into two kinds according to their uses in sentences, which are for position or place and for direction. While the former is used with verbs of motion, the latter appears with verbs of condition and state of being. In English, many prepositions are used to describe both spatial and temporal relationships (Clark, 1973; Bennett, 1975; Jackendoff, 1983). Both spatial and temporal functions of prepositions may pose challenges on the part of second language learners (Kemmerer, 2005). Lindstromberg (2010) states that spatial preposition is a physical thing located in relation to another. The meaning of each preposition is spatial to describe these meanings; the trajectory (TR) and the landmark (LM) are defined. Prepositions perform three formal functions in sentences. They can act as an adjective modifying a noun, as an adverb modifying a verb, or as a nominal when used in conjunction with the verb form to be. According to Fitria (2022), they come in three different types: single preposition, phrase preposition, and prepositional phrase. (Stageberge1971, 155) stated that "English has a set of prepositions, most common English prepositions are simple; they consist of one word only, such as: at, in, and for. Simple prepositions are short words expressing relationships, including space, time, and degree relations". Many prepositions are more than one word, which, are called complex prepositions. One-syllable prepositions, such as: by, of, with, on, to, and with, are the most commonly used preparations.

There are a variety of two-syllable prepositions, such as about, above, after, against, within; above, behind, below, below, in, beyond; despite, excluding, in, and out. Ing Prepositions, this prepositions category "has a verb as a stem such as: assuming, starting, during, barring, concerning, considering, continuing, including, involving, and successfully" (Stageberge 1971, 155)

According to Richard Nordquist (2019: n.d), A complex preposition is a collection of terms that functions as a simple preposition such as "apart from" or "by means of". A complex preposition is subdivided into types: 1) Two-word prepositions that can be divided into two-word sequences, the first word is an adverb, adjective, or conjunction, and the second word is a basic preposition to, and with such as apart from, as for, according to, ahead of, along with. (2) Three-word prepositions are a combination of three words acting as a single unit. Three-word prepositions consist of Simple Preposition + Noun + Simple Preposition such as: in accordance with, in addition to, in back of, on behalf of, by means of, by virtue of, by way of, for lack of. Prepositions of movement which this study is limited to show movement from one place to another place. The most common prepositions of movement include Across: movement from one side to the other side of something. Around: movement passing something in a curved route, not going through it. Away from indicating the point where a movement begins. Down: movement from a higher point to a lower point of something. From: indicating the point where a movement begins. Into: movement to

an enclosed space; movement resulting in physical contact. Off: movement away from (and often down from) something. On to, onto movement to the top surface of something. Out of: indicating the enclosed space where a movement begins. Over: movement above and across the top or top surface of something. Past: movement from one side to the other side of something. To: movement in the direction of something. Through: movement in one side and out of the other side of something. Towards: movement in the direction of something. Under: movement directly below something. Up: movement from a lower point to a higher point of something.

3. METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY

The aim of this study is to investigate the problems BA students face when they attempt to translate movement prepositions from English into standard Arabic. To suggest the most appropriate strategies or techniques that can be used by translators. The population of the current study consisted of 60 BA students majoring in English language and translation. To achieve the purpose of the study, the researchers designed a translation test to find out the difficulties that the selected sample faced during the translation process. The test consists of 30 statements that were suitable for different students' levels. To evaluate the level of translation the researchers used the following measurements: The first one is correct translation; this is when the translation of the prepositions of movement and the contextual meaning of the statement is correct. The second one is acceptable translation; this is when the translation of the prepositions of movement and the contextual meaning of the prepositions of movement and the contextual meaning of the prepositions of movement and the contextual meaning of the prepositions of movement and the contextual meaning of the prepositions of movement and the contextual meaning of the statement is incorrect. The fourth one is no translation; this is when the examined student skipped the translation of the statement.

4. DISCUSSIONS AND RESULTS

The results of students' performance in the test are presented in Table (1) below. Answers are presented in four categories: The correct answer, acceptable answer, wrong answer, and no answer. It can be seen from the results that the highest percentage is (44%) for correct answers. The percentage of wrong answers was (18%). (0.08%).

Statement No		СТ			AT			₩Т			NT			
			-										_	
1	Fr.		6	1	7	11	8	19	9	16	25	4	5	9
-	%		10	1.67	11.67	18.33	13.33	31.67	15	26.67	41.67	6.67	8.33	15
2	Fr.		0	1	1	19	17	36	5	9	14	6	3	9
	%		0.00	1.67	1.67	31.67	28.33	60	8.33	15	23.33	10	5	15.00
3	Fr.		25	18	43	5	10	15	0	1	1	0	1	1
	%		41.67	30.00	71.67	8.33	16.67	25.00	0.00	1.67	1.67	0.00	1.67	1.67
4	Fr.		26	26	52	0	2	2	4	1	5	0	1	1
	%		43.33	43.33	86.67	0.00	3.33	3.33	6.67	1.67	8.33	0.00	1.67	1.67
5	Fr.		23	23	46	5	4	9	1	2	3	1	1	2
	%		38.33	38.33	76.67	8.33	6.67	15.00	1.67	3.33	5.00	1.67	1.67	3.33
6	Fr.		22	20	42	5	5	10	0	4	4	3	1	4
	%		36.67	33.33	70.00	8.33	8.33	16.67	0.00	6.67	6.67	5	1.67	6.67
7	Fr.		8	8	16	17	20	37	5	1	6	0	1	1
	%		13.33	13.33	26.67	28.33	33.33	61.67	8.33	1.67	10.00	0.00	1.67	1.67
8	Fr.		20	22	42	4	4	8	6	3	9	0	1	1
	%		33.33	36.67	70.00	6.67	6.67	13.33	10.00	5.00	15.00	0.00	1.67	1.67
9	Fr.		2	3	5	27	24	51	1	2	3	0	1	1
	%		3.33	5.00	8.33	45.00	40.00	85.00	1.67	3.33	5.00	0.00	1.67	1.67
10	Fr.		17	14	31	7	8	15	4	8	12	2	0	2
	%		28.33	23.33	51.67	11.67	13.33	25.00	6.67	13.33	20.00	3.33	0.00	3.33
11	Fr.		16	10	26	9	20	29	5	0	5	0	0	0
	%		26.67	16.67	43.33	15.00	33.33	48.33	8.33	0.00	8.33	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	Fr.		22	17	39	5	9	14	2	3	5	1	1	2
	%		36.67	28.33	65.00	8.33	15.00	23.33	3.33	5.00	8.33	1.67	1.67	3.33
13	Fr.		23	11	34	6	7	13	1	3	4	0	9	9
	%		38.33	18.33	56.67	10.00	11.67	21.67	1.67	5.00	6.67	0.00	15.00	15.00
14	Fr.		24	18	42	6	10	16	0	2	2	0	0	0
	%		40.00	30.00	70.00	10.00	16.67	26.67	0.00	3.33	3.33	0.00	0.00	0.00
15	Fr.		9	20	29	8	4	12	13	6	19	0	0	0
	%		15.00	33.33	48.33	13.33	6.67	20.00	21.67	10.00	31.67	0.00	0.00	0.00

International Journal of Membrane	Science and Tech	nology, 2023. \	/ol. 10. No. 3	. pp 893-907
international obarnal of Membrane		1101099, 2020, 1		, pp 000 001

16	Fr.	12	12	24	6	6	12	12	12	24	0	0	0
	%	20.00	20.00	40.00	10.00	10.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
17	Fr.	8	6	14	10	14	24	10	9	19	2	1	3
	%	13.33	10.00	23.33	16.67	23.33	40.00	16.67	15.00	31.67	3.33	1.67	5.00
18	Fr.	9	13	22	6	5	11	14	12	26	1	0	1
	%	15.00	21.67	36.67	10.00	8.33	18.33	23.33	20.00	43.33	1.67	0.00	1.67
19	Fr.	4	4	8	6	9	15	17	16	33	3	1	4
	%	6.67	6.67	13.33	10	15	25	28.33	26.67	55.00	5	1.67	6.67
20	Fr.	19	15	34	8	9	17	2	6	8	1	0	1
	%	31.67	25	56.67	13.33	15.00	28.33	3.33	10.00	13.33	1.67	0.00	1.67
21	Fr.	17	13	30	11	13	24	1	4	5	1	0	1
	%	28.33	21.67	50.00	18.33	21.67	40.00	1.67	6.67	8.33	1.67	0.00	1.67
22	Fr.	10	6	16	10	6	16	5	3	8	5	15	20
	%	16.67	10.00	26.67	16.67	10.00	26.67	8.33	5.00	13.33	8.33	25.00	33.33
23	Fr.	20	17	37	3	3	6	5	3	8	2	7	9
	%	33.33	28.33	61.67	5.00	5.00	10.00	8.33	5.00	13.33	3.33	11.67	15.00
24	Fr.	13	9	22	12	3	15	2	10	12	3	8	11
	%	21.67	15.00	36.67	20	5	25	3.33	16.67	20	5	13.33	18.33
25	Fr.	7	1	8	11	14	25	6	6	12	6	9	15
	%	11.67	1.67	13.33	18.33	23.33	42	10	10	20	10	15	25
26	Fr.	14	3	17	7	15	22	6	6	12	3	6	9
	%	23.33	5	28.33	11.67	25	36.67	10	10	20	5	10	15
27	Fr.	15	13	28	4	7	11	2	3	5	9	7	16
	%	25.00	21.67	46.67	6.67	11.67	18.33	3.33	5	8.33	15	11.67	26.67
28	Fr.	17	15	32	6	6	12	5	3	8	2	6	8
	%	28.33	25	53.33	10.00	10.00	20.00	8.33	5	13.33	3.33	10.00	13.33
29	Fr.	18	13	31	5	4	9	2	3	5	5	10	15
	%	30.00	21.67	51.67	8.33	6.67	15.00	3.33	5	8.33	8.33	16.67	25
30		6	5	11	17	10	27	3	8	11	4	7	11
_	%	10.00	8.33	18.33	28.33	16.67	45.00	5.00	13.33	18.33	6.67	11.67	18.33
Total	Fr.	432	357	789	256	276	532	148	165	313	64	102	166
Τc	%	0.24	0.20	0.44	0.14	0.15	0.30	0.08	0.1	0.18	0.04	0.06	0.09

Source: Surname Year

4.1. Statement (1)

On the way, we came across an old beggar.

. Suggested model answer: في الطريق صادفنا متسول كبير في السن Fĩ ʔaltarĩq ṣãdafanã motasawwelun kabĩrun fi ʔalsseni.

As shown in Table (1), only (7) students (11.67) provided the correct translation. Meanwhile, (19) of the respondents (31.67%) provided an acceptable translation by using the Arabic equivalent (mararnã be) مررنا ب (25) of the respondents (41.67%) provided the wrong translation for this statement. This is due to the fact that they are not familiar with the uses and usages of the prepositions in both languages. Prepositions in Arabic are syntactically different from those in English. The use and usage of a preposition may be also different in one language taking into account the semantic and grammatical factors. Some of the students translated *on* as (Salã) , while they should have translated it into (fī), they used the literal translation of the preposition *on*. Meanwhile, others mistranslated the preposition across as $\tilde{(}$ khelãi) , while they should have translated it taking into consideration *across* as part of the verbal phrase *came across* which means (sãdafanã) in Arabic. (9) Students (15%) did not provide any translation because they were confused due to the fact that some preposition. Students faced difficulty determining which English movement preposition is appropriate in contexts where there is a shared primary counterpart in Arabic.

4.2. Statement (2)

We can get onto the bus here.

يمكننا الصعود إلى الحافلة هنا :Suggested model answer

Yumkenunã ?al șuoũd ?ela ?al hãfelatu hunã.

Only one student provided the correct answer. Meanwhile, (36) students (60%) provided acceptable translations. (yomkenonã ?alrokūb fĩ ?l ḥãfelah hon ã) يمكننا الركوب في الحافلة هنا. (14) of the respondents (23.33%) provided wrong translation for this statement. They translated the preposition *onto* correctly but they faced difficulty in other words in the sentence *get*; Some of the students translated *get into* (?al ḥoṣũl ʕalã) للحصول على (while they should have translated it into (?al ṣoʕūd ?elã) الصعود الى (they used literal translation of the words. This can be related to the lack of knowledge of Arabic prepositions and their multiple uses and usages. The error detected in this statement is the result of literal translation techniques based on false analogies established between the use of certain prepositions in the students' mother tongue which is mistakenly seen as equivalents of the preposition. Meanwhile, (9) of the respondents (15%) did not provide any translation.

4.3. Statement (3)

I followed Mr. Jackson along the corridor.

Suggested model answer: تابعت السيد جاكسون علي طول الممر Tābatu ?alsayyed jāksun? alā ţūl ?al mamar. This statement was translated correctly by (43) students (71.67%). Meanwhile (15) respondents (25%) provided acceptable translation (?anā laḥeqtu bessayyed jākson ţewāla ?al mamar). أنا لحقت بالسيد جاكسون طوال الممر (and only use fuller) is attached to the corridor. There is no need to translate / to (?anā) i and only use (laḥeqtu) because the subject / is attached to the verb لحقت as the letter ('i) in Arabic. This is related to the student's injection of the rules and structures of English into their translations into Arabic which is considered as a grammatical error. Some students provided wrong translation (anā mashaito wara'?a ?assayyed jakson \$alā) ?al mamar ?al tawīl) الطويل (Iawīl) المرز (Tabatu is equivalent to the word *long* in English and they used (mashaito warā?a). described this case by stating "the selective moment of translating, when decisions have to be made between the available alternatives".

4.4. Statement (4)

I am going to the university

Suggested model answer: أنا ذاهب إلى الجامعة ?anã ðãhebun ?ela ?al jãme'?ati.

In this example (52) students (86.67%) provided correct translation. This statement scored the highest percentage of correct answers. Only (2) students (3.33%) provide acceptable translation (?anã ðāheb ?elã ?al kolleyyah) أنا ذاهب الى الكلية, they rendered the word *university* to (?alkolleyyah) الكلية which is equivalent to *college* in English instead of (?aljāmeʕati) الجامعة which is an acceptable equivalent used by universities' students. (5) Students (8.33%) provided wrong translation; they made grammatical mistakes using different verb tenses such as (?anã sawfa ?aðhabu) انا سوف اذهب (I am going to go), or (?anã ðahabtu) انا خوبت (I which is equivalent to (I went). Only (1) did not provide any translation.

4.5. Statement (5)

The bathroom is to your left.

Suggested model answer: الحمام على يسارك ?al hmmãm ?alã yasãreka.

This statement was translated correctly by (46) students (76.67%). On the other hand, (9) students (15%) provided acceptable translation (?elã yasãrak) الى يسارك (They used literal translation for the preposition *to* which is acceptable in this situation. Some students translated (yasãrak) يسارك (shemãlak) شمالك (they used a different word choice in Arabic. (3) Students (5%) provided wrong translation (fī yasãrak) في (fī jehat ?al shemãl) في (fī jehat ?al shemãl) means north in English not left. This is related to the students' insufficient knowledge of Arabic. (2) Students (3.33%) did not provide any translation. An inappropriate selection of prepositions can lead to an impression of peculiarity because it does not fulfill the linguistic expectations of the reader of a message.

4.6. Statement (6)

The ship sailed through the channel.

Suggested model answer: ابحرت السفينة عبر القناة ?abḥarat ?al ssafīnatu ?abra ?al qanãti.

In this statement (42) students provided the correct answer. Meanwhile, (10) students (16.67%) provided acceptable translation (min khelãi) من خلال instead of (stra) عبر. *Through* has many Arabic equivalents such as (stra) عبر (khelãi) من (bewãsețat) من (bewãsețat) عبر but the students' experience and knowledge helped them to choose the suitable equivalent. Only (4) students (6.67%) translated this statement wrongly; they translated through as (bettijãh) باتر which is equivalent to *towards* in English. Other students translated (channel) قناء (nafaq) which is equivalent to *towards* in English. Other students translated (channel) فلا (as (nafaq) which is equivalent to *towards* (6.67%) did not provide any translation.

4.7. Statement (7)

The car went through the tunnel.

Suggested model answer: مرت السيارة بداخل النفق Marrat ?al ssayyãratu min khelãl ?alnafaqi.

In this example (16) Students (26.67%) translated this statement correctly. Meanwhile, (37) students (61.67) provided acceptable translation (ðahabat ?alsayyarah min khelāl ?alnafaq) ذهبت السيارة من خلال النفق they used the literal translation of the preposition through which is acceptable. Students translated the preposition as a separate entity regardless its position as part of the verbal phrase *went through*. (6) Students provided wrong answers by using the word (bettijāh) باتجاه which is equivalent to *towards* in English. According to (Campoy 2012,97) "Phrasal verbs are one of the most creative resources of the English language, since new combinations are easily created by attaching particles to verbs ... and in this way, they express some new concept".

4.8. Statement (8)

I got into the classroom.

Suggested model answer: دخلت غرفة الصف Dakhaltu ghurfata ?alşşaffi.

42 students (70%) translated the statement correctly. On the other hand, (8) students (13.33%) provided acceptable translation (dakhalto ?elã ?al şaff) للى (fĩ ?al şaff) للى (they added (?elã), they added (?elã) للى to the word (dakhaltu) للى or (fĩ ?al şaff) دخلت الى غرفة الصف or (fĩ ?al şaff) لد which is not needed because into indicates the movement of something or someone is going to another location. While in only describes where they are without movement. (9) students provided the wrong translation (?anã dãkhel ?al şaf) النا داخل الصف (because into is equivalent to I am in the classroom or inside the

classroom. This is grammatically wrong because it indicates that someone is already in the classroom without any movement. Syntactically they used (?anã) ^[1] at the beginning of the sentence. The students inserted the structures and the rules of English into Arabic in their translations. it is important for the translators to understand that, across this wide spectrum of syntactical structures and discoursal contexts, each preposition may be used very differently which allows the translators to make valid assumptions regarding the use of a given preposition in a complete range of grammatical and lexical structures.

4.9. Statement (9)

I jumped into the swimming pool.

Suggested model answer: فغزت داخل بركة السباحة . Qafaztu dãkhela berkati ?alssebã hati.

Only (5) students provided the correct translation. Meanwhile (51) students provided acceptable translation (qafaztu fī) لقنزت في (3) Students provided the wrong translation (?aqfīzu ?elā أقنز الى which is grammatically wrong because the verb is in the present tense while it should be in the past. The translation of the preposition (?elā الى (students of to. It is essential that translators acquire an in-depth knowledge of the linguistic structures and forms both of the target language and their mother tongue as well as the discourse skills required for the production of coherent and cohesive communication.

4.10. Statement (10)

There was a mirror over the sink

Suggested model answer: هناك مرآة فوق الحوض/ المغسلة Hunãka mer?ãtun fawqa ?al ḥawḍi/ ?almeghsalate.

In this statement (31) Students translated the statement correctly. (15) Students provided acceptable translation; they used (Salā) فوق which is the equivalent of on in English instead of (fawqa) فوق which is acceptable in Arabic. (12) Students translated the statement incorrectly by translating over as (taḥta) تحت which is the equivalent of under in English. This indicates students' lack of familiarity with the prepositions therefore translators should always be conscious and ensure a complete understanding of the source text and the multiplicity of functions that prepositions fulfill. According to Halliday & Hasan (1976:2), "A text is best regarded as a semantic unit: a unit not of form but of meaning".

4.11. Statement (11)

He drove the car along the road carefully.

Suggested model answer: قاد المركبة طوال الطريق بحدر Qãda ?almarkabata ṭewāla ?al tarīq be haðar.

As shown in Table (1) (26) students (43.33%) provided correct translation. Meanwhile, (29) students (48.33%) provided acceptable translations. Only (5) students provided the wrong translation (howa yasũq sayyãra țawĩlah wa haðer ?alaț țarĩq) they have semantic problems here because they did not know the semantics implication of the preposition. This meaning here is closely linked to other words' meanings since prepositions encode only a small segment of all semantic relationships.

4.12. Statement (12)

We could hear the rain falling on the roof.

Suggested model answer: يمكننا سماع المطر يتساقط على السطح Yumkenunã samã?u ?almataru yatasãqaţu ?alã ?al saţh.

In this statement, 39 students (65%) provided correct translation. While (14) students (23.33%). provided acceptable translation (yanzelu ʕalā ʔalssotooḥ) ينزل على السطوح . The student used the word (yanzelu) ينزل (yanzelu ʕalā ʔalssotooḥ) يندافط which is the right equivalent to *come down* instead of (yatasāqaṭu) يتساقط which is the right equivalent to *falling*. Only (5) students (8.33) provided the wrong translation by using falling as (yataḥṭṭam) يتصافط which is equivalent to *crashing* in English. Students tried to perform a relevant adequate translation. It is worth mentioning here that it is important to understand the content, the communicative function, and the stylistic effect of the specific features of the text. A syntactic error was committed (yanzel ʔalm ṭar wa naḥno nasamaʕuhu) ينزل المطرونحن نسمعه (the order of prepositions within a sentence poses difficulties in the translation process for a translator when translating a chunk of text while using the same order of words in both languages which can only be solved by developing an adequate knowledge of the language.

4.13. Statement (13)

Let's have dinner in my place.

Suggested model answer: دعنا نتناول طعام العشاء في منزلي Dasna natanāwalu ţasāma ?al sashā'? fī manzilī.

(34) students (56.67%) rendered the statement correctly. On the other hand, (13) students (21.67%) provided acceptable translation by using the word (makãnĩ) a^{2} which is literal translation for the word *my place* which is acceptable. (4) student (6.67%) rendered the statement incorrectly by translating the preposition *in* as (?elã) u^{1} which is equivalent to instead of (fĩ) a^{2} Others translated dinner as (?alghadã'?) which is equivalent to lunch in English. Some might argue that it is not correct to use *in* as a preposition in this situation and the correct preposition is at. (Swan1995,444) stated that the English preposition "at" has been documented as having eighteen main uses or functions. It can be said that using at is correct and on is correct because on indicates a movement to the place, not the location. This case was described by (Bassnett 2014,59) when she says: "Students of English quickly encounter what I call 'the killer preposition,' that is, the English usage of prepositions to completely alter the meaning of a verb".

4.14. Statement (14)

I moved to Germany in 1998.

Suggested model answer: 1988 التقلت للعيش في المانيا عام Entaqaltu lel?ayshi fĩ ?almãnia ٢ãm 1988.

In this example (42) students (70%) provided correct translation. Meanwhile (16) students (26.67%) provided acceptable translation (?intaqalto ?elā) انتقلت العيش في in Arabic indicates the movement of a person or an object towards a point. Therefore, it has both meanings together; the meaning of *to* and *in*. Only (2) students provided incorrect translations by using the slang Arabic (roḥto ʕalã) رحت على which is equivalent to went on in English.

4.15. Statement (15)

He's gone to the seashore.

Suggested model answer: ذهب إلى الشاطيء ðahaba ?elã ?al shãtia.

(29) Students (48.33%) rendered the statement correctly. While (12) students (20%) provided acceptable translation (ðahaba ?elā ?albaḥr) نابحر which is equivalent to *He has gone to the sea*. (19) Students (31.67%) rendered the statement incorrectly; they used literal translation (howa ðahaba ?elā ?al da'sm) هو ذهب الى (da'sm) دعم which is equivalent to *support* in English. Other students translated the preposition *to* (salā) وwhich is equivalent to *on* in English. This leads to misinterpretation of the intended message. To avoid such cases of misinterpretation, it is important to get a complete understanding, so as to convey the intended meanings.

4.16. Statement (16) and Statement (17)

We walked across the park.

Suggested model answer: (من مدخله الى مخرجه Tamashaynã fĩ ʔal mutanazzahi . (min madkhalehi ʔela makhrajehi).

4.17. Statement (17)

We walked through the park.

تمشينا في المنتزه.(دخلنا من منتصفه وخرجنا من مخرج آخر) . Suggested model answer

Dakhalnã min muntașafihi wakhrajnã min makhrajen ?ãkhar.

As shown in statement (16), there are (24) students (40%) who rendered the statement correctly. Meanwhile, (12) students (20%) had an acceptable translation. The statement was rendered incorrectly by (24) students (40%) On the other hand in statement (17) there are (14) students (23.33%) rendered the statement correctly. Meanwhile (24) students provided acceptable translations. The statement was rendered incorrectly by (19) students (31.67%). According to (R.Quirk, S.Greenbaum, G.Leech and J.Svertvik (1973). Across is movement from one side of an area, surface, or line to the other side. Through is movement from one side of an enclosed space to the other side. The translations of the two statements carried by the students were almost the same. They translated across literally into (?abra) خلال (khelãl) خلال. Both are acceptable even though there is a difference between the two prepositions in English yet when translating them into Arabic the same equivalent can be used. The students who translated the two sentences incorrectly translated the prepositions across and through into (bettija) باتجاه or (nahwa) نحو . The equivalent of the preposition towards in English is (lenehãyat) لنهاية which is equivalent to the end of in English. Providing unacceptable Arabic equivalences of some English prepositions resulted in affecting or even changing the meaning. It is worth mentioning here that prepositions can acquire different senses in different contexts. According to (Roikiene 2005, 9) "the precise interpretation assigned to the preposition is constrained and delimited by the sentential context, including the Trajector, the Landmark, and the verb which occurs in the utterance". Hence, the role of the preposition in rendering the meaning at the level of specificity is partially acceptable.

4.18. Statement (18) and Statement (19)

They drove across the city.

Suggested model answer: قادوا السيارة/المركبة عبر المدينة Qadū alssayyārata/ ?almarkabata ?abra al madīnati.

4.19. Statement (19)

They drove through the city.

Suggested model answer: قادوا السيارة/المركبة عبر المدينة Qadū alssayyārata/ almarkabata ?abra ?al madīnati.

In statement (18) only (22) students (36.67%) provided correct translation. While (11) students (18.33%) provided acceptable translations. On the other hand, (26) students (43.33%) translated the statement incorrectly. In statement (19) Only (8) students (13.33%) provided the correct translation. While (15) students (25%) provided acceptable translations. On the other hand, (33) students (55%) translated the statement incorrectly. Similar to statement (16) and (17) we have the prepositions *across* and *through* which confused the students and it is clear that they did not know the difference between them. In statement (18) and (19) most of the students rendered the statements into (qadū <code>Sabra</code>/ khelāl <code>?almadina</code>) is without adding the word car (<code>?alsayyarah</code>) which is somehow acceptable. The word is not written in the source language but the students who provided the

correct answer paraphrased the sentence and found out that the object of the verb (alsayyārah) السيارة which is equivalent to *car* or *vehicle* in English is missing and it should be added to the translation of the target language otherwise it would be syntactically insufficient. The students who translated the two sentences incorrectly translated the prepositions across and through into (bettijāh) باتجاه or (naḥwa) بنعون which are the equivalents of the preposition *towards* in English. And one student translated the word we drove into (natanaqqal) in English. This shows a semantic problem that students suffer from which distort the meaning. As a result, translators frequently have to add, remove, and rearrange source words to effectively communicate in the target language.

4.20. Statement (20)

The dog is running towards me.

Suggested model answer: يركض الكلب متجها نحوي Yarkudu ?al kalbu muttajehan nahwī.

In this example (34) students (56.67%) rendered the statement correctly. Meanwhile, (17) students (28.33%) provided acceptable translation by using the word (bettijāhī) باتجاهي as a translation of the preposition *towards* instead of (mottajeḥan naḥwī). The statement was rendered incorrectly by (8) students (13.33%) when they used the wrong equivalent for the preposition towards (lãḥeqan bĩ) or (lilli ḥãq bĩ) which indicates that the dog is behind them and not in front of them which is wrong. Other students have grammatical errors by using the plural dogs instead of dog which is a subject-verb agreement error. Sometimes translators use prepositions incorrectly due to first-language interference with the correct form in the target language.

4.21. Statement (21)

I think we're heading towards Oxford now; we must have gone wrong.

Suggested model answer: اعتقد اننا الان نتجه نحو اكسفورد. لابد اننا أخطأنا/ كنا مخطئين Atakedu annanã alãn nattajehu nahwa uksfurd. La budda annanã kunnnã mukhți?ĩn.

Only (30) students (50%) rendered the statement correctly. While (24) students (40%) provided acceptable translation (?athonno ?annañă ðahebīn ?elā ?uksford) أطن النا ذاهبين الى اكسفورد. Here the student used the preposition (?elā) الى instead of (mottajehan ela) متجها الى (s) students (8.33%) rendered the statement incorrectly by translating it into (?aftaked ?annañă tafaddaina ?uksford) متجها الى (b) students (8.33%) rendered the statement incorrectly by translating it into (?aftaked ?annaña tafaddaina ?uksford) المن النا المناز المناز المناز المناز المناز (s) students (8.33%) rendered the statement incorrectly by translating it into (?aftaked ?annaña tafaddaina ?uksford) المناز (s) students (s.33%) rendered the statement incorrectly by translating it into (?aftaked ?annaña tafaddaina ?uksford) اعتقدا تعدينا اكسفورد (which is equivalent to *I think we've past Oxford* in English. Another student translated it literally we *must have gone.* This translation distorted the meaning of the sentence as (yajeb falayna ?an nað'haba ?al kha ta'?) بلا نذهب الخط (equivalent to *we must have to go the wrong* which is semantically and syntactically wrong. This would be incomprehensible and makes no sense to Arabic readers. According to (Herskovits 1986,145) "there is an ideal meaning of preposition, which is defined as a relation between ideal geometric objects such as point, line, surface, etc. All uses of the preposition are derived by means of operations involving adaptations and shifts in this meaning".

4.22. Statement (22)

Let's get back onto the path.

Suggested model answer: دعونا نعود إلى الطريق Dasuña nasudu ?ela ?al țariq.

In this example (16) students (26.67%) provided correct translation. While (16) students (26.67%) provided acceptable translation (da'çũnã naçũdo çalã ?al ṭṭarĩq) دعونا نعود على الطريق. The students translated onto literally into (çalã) على. In English, it is better to say get onto the path instead of getting back on the path, but it is still acceptable. On the other hand, (8) students (13.33%) translated the statement incorrectly by using (hayyã narje'çu wanaḥşolu çalã ḥammãm) هيا نرجع ونحصل على حمام(

here that there is a semantic error related to student's misunderstanding of the full meaning of the statement by using the literal translation of the preposition. (20) Students (33.33%) skipped translating the statement because they faced trouble in analyzing the semantics meanings due to the lack of specific meanings of the preposition, and identifying what is meant by semantic uses of prepositions. The error here does not impede adequate communication of the main message.

4.23. Statement (23)

He kicked the ball toward the goal.

Suggested model answer: ركل/ قذف الكرة باتجاه المرمى/نحو الهدف. (rakala/qathafa) ?alkurata bettijãhi ?al marmã / naḥwa ?al hadaf.

(37) students (61.67%) provided correct translation. Meanwhile (6) students (10%) provided acceptable translation by translating *towards the goal* into (rakala ?alkora ?elã ?almarmã) ركل الكرة الى المرمى . The student used (?elã) لى the equivalent of *to* instead of *towards*. On the other hand, (8) students (13.33%) translated the statement incorrectly (lakad daraba ?al kora le'?ihrãz ?alhadaf) لله في which is equivalent to *He hit the ball to score a goal*. In this case, translators should be aware of not only the explicitly stated meanings of prepositions but the implicitly communicated ones. They also should be aware that a preposition can share some of its meanings with other prepositions. According to (Downing & Locke 1992,178) "prepositional sets, unlike other members of grammatical paradigm, are not mutually exclusive. That is, one member of the paradigm can be used in the place of the other in a given syntactic frame".

4.24. Statement (24)

They couldn't get the new sofa through the door.

Suggested model answer: الخال الاريكة من الباب Lam yastati?ũ edkhāl ?al ?arīkati mina ?al bāb. Only (22) students (36.67%) provided correct translation. (15) Students (25%) provided acceptable translation using slang Arabic equivalent (?alkanabāyeh) الكنباية for the word *sofa*. They literally translated the preposition through (men khelāl) من خلال (abra) من خلال on the other hand, (12) students (20%) translated the statement incorrectly some had grammatical mistakes such as using singular instead of plural (la yastatee'çu) لايستطيعون instead of (la yastațīçūn) لا يتمكنوا من (softa) من خلال Other students had semantic errors (lam yatamakkanũ mena çl hoşūl çalā ?arīkaten jadīdaten) لم يتمكنوا من which is equivalent to *they couldn't have new sofa* which is not the intended meaning but they translated the preposition through correctly. When students are not sure which prepositions to use in a certain phrase, they often resort to a possible equivalence in their mother tongue, giving a literal translation of the Arabic preposition into English. Careful analysis of the source text meaning will enable the translator to decide on the most appropriate rendering of the contextualized preposition in the target text.

4.25. Statement (25)

We drove through some spectacular countryside.

Suggested model answer: تجولنا في السيارة عبر بعض المناطق الريفية الجميلة. Tajawwalnã fĩ alssayyãrati ?abra ba? di ?al manãțiqi ?al rĩfiyyati ?al jamĩlatu.

Only (8) students (13.33%) provided correct translation. On the other hand (25) students (42%) provided acceptable translation (kodnã çabra ba'ç d almanãteq çalrĩfeyyah çal moð'hela) قدنا عبر المناطق الريفية المذهلة , here the student did not mention the word (?alsayyara) السيارة which is equivalent to *car* in English. *Car* is not mentioned because *through some spectacular countryside* is a prepositional phrase. *Some spectacular countryside* is the object of the preposition *through*, not the direct object of the verb *drove* because the direct object *is car* which is deleted. (12) Students (20%) translated the statement incorrectly into (kodnã dãkhel çal shawãre'a çal fer'eyyah çalmomayyaza lelmadīnah) قدنا حيا المعربة المعربة المعربة المعربة المعربة المعربة المعربة (عليه المعربة) which is totally incorrect and equivalent to *We've been in*

the city's distinctive sub-streets. In this case, the student did not understand the whole meaning of the words and rendered them wrongly, the preposition through was translated into (dãkhel) داخل which is equivalent to *into* in English, and provided words that were not mentioned in the source text and not even related to (Salfer'Siyyah) الفرعية (Salmomayyaza) ساله المعيزة which is equivalent to sub-streets and the word (Salmomayyaza) ساله المعيزة which is equivalent to *distinctive* instead of *spectacular* and (Salmadĩnah) المدينة equivalent to city instead of *countryside*. The native language's negative influence on students and on their ability to acquire prepositions that function differently in their Arabic language than its function in English is very obvious in the translation of this statement. It is worth mentioning here that the preference for one preposition over another may not always be due merely to meaning: register and tone may well come into play, too.

4.26. Statement (26)

The singer stepped onto the platform.

Suggested model answer: صعد المغني إلى المنصبة Sasad ?al mughannĩ ?elã ?almenașșati.

In this example (17) students (28.33%) provided correct translation. Meanwhile (22) students (36.67%) rendered the statement acceptably into (ectal al moghanni khashabat cal masrah) اعتلى المغني المنصة also (masha al moghanni cal cal masrah) دمشي المغني على المسرح (ala cal masrah) دمشي المغني على المسرح (and cal masrah) دمشي المغني على المسرح (ala cal masrah). On the other hand (12) students (20%) translated the statement incorrectly using the equivalent of the word platform which is (masha cal moghanni cal cal barnamej cal mo haddad laho) مشي المغني على البرنامج المحدد له . This is the equivalent to *The singer walked on his specific program* which is totally far away from the intended meaning. Their translations comprised only the explicitly stated meaning therefore the translations are not communicative in the sense that they never made a reference to the intended meaning.

4.27. Statement (27)

Professor of Geography asks his students to look at the moon: You won't be able to see it unless you look through the binoculars.

Suggested model answer. لن تكونوا قادرين على رؤيته الا اذا نظرتم من خلال المناظير Lan takūnū qādirīn ?alā ruayatehi ?ella etha nazartum min khelāl ?almanāzīr.

This statement was rendered correctly by (28) students (46.67%). Meanwhile (11) students (18.33%) provided acceptable translation (lan tas ṭatĩʕū roʕyatehe ʕellã min khelãl ʕal manãẓĩr) لن تستطيعوا رؤيته الا من خلال المناظير (which is equivalent to You'll only be able to see it through the binoculars. On the other hand, (5) students (8.33%) translated the statement incorrectly (ʕadam ro'ʕyat ʕal ʕashyã'ʕ ʕella belmejhar) عدم رؤية الاشياء الا بالمجهر which is equivalent to *Not seeing things but with a microscope*. The students could not find an Arabic translation due to their lack of knowledge in Arabic prepositions and their multiple uses and usages.

4.28. Statement (28)

I'll jump over the wall and open the gate.

Sa ?aqfezu fawqa ?al hãeți wa ?aftahu ?albawwãbata. سأقفز فوق الحائط وأفتح البوابة.

(32) students (53.33%) provided correct translation. On the other hand, (12) students (20%) translated the preposition *over* into (men Salã) من على and (Salã) على. Both translations are accepted and all the translations of the word *wall* as (sour) مور (jedãr) جدار are acceptable too. On the other hand (8) students (13.33%) translated the statement incorrectly; they used the wrong word equivalent for the preposition over (sawfa Saqfezu mina Sassũr le Saftaḥa Salbawwābah) من السور لافتح (sawfa Saqfezu min Khelãl Sassũr le Saftaḥa Salbawwābah) من السور لافتح (sawfa Saqfezu min Khelãl Sassũr le Saftaḥa Salbawwābah) خلال السور لافتح (sawfa Saqfezu min Khelãl Sassũr le Saftaḥa Salbawwābah) خلال السور لافتح (which is equivalent to through in English. (8) Students (13.33%) did not translate it at all.

4.29. Statement (29)

The aircraft flew low over the lake.

Suggested model answer: حلقت الطائرة منخفضة فوق البحيرة hallaqat ?alțãeratu munkhafedatan fawqa ?al buhairati.

(31) students (51.67%) provided correct translation. Meanwhile, (9) students (15%) provided acceptable translation. All translations using the equivalents (beshaklen monkhafed) بالتفاض or (benkhifad) بالتفاض (bertifã cen monkhafeden) بارتفاع منخفض for the word low are acceptable. (5) students (8.33%) translated the statement incorrectly into (catță cerăt hallaqat beshaklen qarīben mena calbuhairah) الطائرات حلقت بشكل قريب من البحيرة (translated the preposition over incorrectly; they translated it into (mena) من which is equivalent to from in English. They convey the structure of English into Arabic by writing the subject followed by the verb while it is more convenient to write the verb followed by the subject in Arabic. They usually relate them to their own mother tongue's prepositional system.

4.30. Statement (30)

We were walking along the river when it started to rain

Suggested model answer: کنا نسیر (علی طول/بمحاذاة) النهر عندما بدأت السماء تمطر Kunnã nasĩru (ʔala ṭũli/bimuḥãðãti ʔalnnahri ʕendama badaʔat ʔalssamãʔu tumṭeru.

CONCLUSIONS

Translating prepositions is a challenging task due to the fact that they are highly idiomatic and of polysemous nature. Polysemy is "a semantic characteristic of words that have multiple meanings" (Koffi 2010, 299). Prepositions have several definitions and a variety of meanings depending on context. Usually, they are monomorphemic words that belong to the closed class of lexical items that cannot be derived from other words. They derive their meaning from their relation to other words in the context. This challenge can be attributed to several factors. First, students usually relate them to the Arabic prepositional system. Second lack of prepositional knowledge which leads to making errors. Students should not depend on propositional knowledge from their first language. If They make "assumptions of semantic equivalence between the first and second languages", it often results in prepositional errors (Lam 2009,3). Third, prepositions perform a number of complex syntactic and semantic roles; they have multiple syntactic functions that can be demanding for students. Another challenge is the lack of a direct corresponding preposition in the students' first language which makes prepositions difficult to comprehend. fifth, Prepositions are likely to have a relatively small number of related literal meanings. According to (Lakoff 1978,123) "Some of the literal meanings of a preposition especially its prototypical meaning, are extended by metaphor to create another relatively small set of related meanings". lastly, many students have difficulties understanding and using prepositions. Moreover, (Ozisik 2014,67) claimed that "the reason why students find prepositions very hard to learn is that there are no specific rules in their usage. Since each language has its own rules, the situation varies greatly from language to language".

REFERENCES

- [1] Akhtar, Sumiara. 2017. An Analysis of Preposition (Idiomatic Phrases, Prepositional Phrase, and Zero Prepositions) Detection Errors in the Writing of Graduate ESL Learners of Pakistan. Journal of Literature, Languages, and Linguistics. ISSN 2422-8435. Vol 32, 2017. P. 24
- [2] Bassnett, Susan. 2014. Translation. Abingdon/New York: Routledge.
- [3] Bennett, David. 1975. Spatial and temporal uses of English prepositions. London: Longman
- [4] Brala, Marija. 2002. Understanding and translating (spatial) prepositions: An exercise in cognitive semantics for lexicographic purposes. Working paper of the Research Centre for English and Applied Linguistics. Cambridge University.
- [5] Brenda, Maria. 2019. The semantics of the English complex preposition next to. Review of Cognitive Linguistics. Published under the auspices of the Spanish Cognitive Linguistics Association, 17(2), 438-464. https://doi.org/10.1075/rcl.00042.bre
- [6] Cabuk, Sakine. 2009. The use of prepositions in second language acquisition process. Middle East TechnicalUniversity.Retrievedfromhttp://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download? doi=10.1.1.632.9192&rep=rep1&type =pdf
- [7] Campoy Cubillo, M^a. C. 2012. Phrasal and Prepositional Verbs in Specialized Texts: A Creative Device. Ibérica, 4, 95-111. Retrieved from http://www.aelfe.org/documents/text4-Campoy.pdf
- [8] Clark, Herbert. 1973. Space, time, semantics, and the child. In T. Moore (Ed.), Cognitive development and the acquisition of language (pp. 28–63). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- [9] Downing, Angela and Philip Locke 1992. A University Course in English Grammar. Hemel Hempstead: Prentice Hall.
- [10] Fitria, Tira. Nur. 2022. Mastering English Grammar for Learners (Menguasai Tata Bahasa Inggris Dasar untuk Pembelajar). Eureka Media Aksara
- [11] Halliday, Mak & Hasan, Rugaiya. 1976. Cohesion in English. London: Longman.
- [12] Hansard, Michelle. 2012 Prepositions of location: At, in, on. Purdue University Online Writing Lab, 1-8
- [13] Herskovits, Annette. 1986. Language and spatial cognition. An interdisciplinary study of the prepositions in English. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [14] Jackendoff. Ray 1983 Semantics and Cognition. Cambridge. MA MFF Press.
- [15] Jubier, Mustafa. Mahdi., 2019. English Consonant Pronunciation Difficulties of Adult EFL Arab Learners in Malaysia. International Journal of Language Academy, 482-496.
- [16] Kemmerer, David. 2005. The spatial and temporal meaning of English prepositions can be independently impaired. Neuropsychologia, 43, 797-806
- [17] Koffi, Ettien. (2010). Applied English syntax: Foundations for word, phrase, and sentence analysis. Dubuque, Iowa: Kendall Hunt Publishing Company.
- [18] Lam, Yavonne. (2009). Applying cognitive linguistics to teaching the Spanish prepositions por and para. Language Awareness, 18 (1), 2-18. doi: 10.1080/09658410802147345
- [19] Lindstromberg, Seth. 1998. English prepositions explained. Amsterdam: John Benjamin Publishing Company
- [20] Lindstromberg, Seth 2010 English Prepositions Explained John Benjamins Publishing
- [21] Mall Lange. (n.d.). The Art of Revision, Translation Centre for the Bodies of the European Union. Retrieved from http://cdt.europa.eu/ES/whatwedo/Pages/Translation-annexes/The-art-of revision.aspx
- [22] Mariani, Fauzi. 2020. Error analysis of preposition usage in sentences used by English department students of Tarbiyah faculty UIN Ar-Raniry (Doctoral dissertation, UIN Ar-Raniry)
- [23] Monaikul, Natawut., & Di Eugenio, Barbara. 2020, May. Detecting preposition errors to target interlingual errors in second-language writing. In The Thirty-Third International Flairs Conference, 290-293.
- [24] Mukattash, Lewis. 1985. Errors Made by Arab University Students in the Use of English Prepositions. Glottodidactica, IZ, 47-64.
- [25] Musliyanti Binti Mus. 2012. Students' Ability in Using Preposition: A Case Study at Faculty of Letters of Hasanuddin University. Retrieved from http://repository.unhas.ac.id
- [26] Nordquist, Richard. 2019. Definition, Examples, and Observations on Writing: Glossary of Grammatical and Rhetorical Terms. Retrieved from https://www.thoughtco.com/writing-definition-1692616 on October 12, 2019.
- [27] Ozisik, C. (2014). Identifying preposition errors of Turkish EFL students. ELT Research Journal. Retrieved from http://dergipark.gov.tr/download/article-file/63637
- [28] Premjith, B., Soman, Kotti Padannayil., Kumar, M. Anand., & Ratnam, D. Jyothi. 2019. Embedding linguistic features in word embedding for preposition sense disambiguation in English—Malayalam machine translation context. In: Kumar R., Wiil U. (eds) Recent Advances in Computational Intelligence. Studies in Computational Intelligence, vol 823. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-12500- 4_20
- [29] Pym, Anthony. 2010. Exploring Translation Theories. London and New York: Routledge
- [30] Randolph, Quirk. 1972 A Grammar of Contemporary English. Published by Brand: Longman Group United Kingdom
- [31] Randolph, Quirk, Sidney Greenbaum, Geoffrey Leech, and Jan Svartvik. 1972. A Grammar of Contemporary English, London: Longman Group, 1972. New York: Seminar Press, xii + 1120 pp.
- [32] Roikienė, Dale. 2005. The Realization of Space Relations in English. (Doctoral dissertation). Vilnius. VU.
- [33] Stageberg Norman C 1971 An Introductory English Grammar Holt, Rinehart, and Winston,

- [34] Tyler, Andrea. & Vyvyan. Evans 2003. The Semantics of English Prepositions. Spatial Scenes, Embodied Meaning, and Cognition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [35] Zelinski-Wibbelt, C. (ed.) (1993a). The Semantics of Prepositions: From Mental Processing to Natural Language Processing. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- [36] Zelinski-Wibbelt, C. 1993b. Introduction. In Zelinski-Wibbelt, C. (ed.) The Semantics of Prepositions: From Mental Processing to Natural Language Processing, 1-24. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- [37] Yule, G. George 2006. The Study of Language. 3rd edition. Cambridge University Press.
- [38] Waheed, M., & Jam, F. A. (2010). Teacher's intention to accept online education: Extended TAM model. Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business, 2(5), 330-344.
- [39] Ziauddin, I., Khan, M., Jam, F., & Hijazi, S. (2010). The impacts of employees' job stress on organizational commitment. European Journal of Social Sciences, 13(4), 617-622.
- [40] Jam, F. A., Sheikh, R. A., Iqbal, H., Zaidi, B. H., Anis, Y., & Muzaffar, M. (2011). Combined effects of perception of politics and political skill on employee job outcomes. African Journal of Business Management, 5(23), 9896-9904.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.15379/ijmst.v10i3.1613

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.